Mixed-state form factors of $U(1)$ twist fields in the Dirac theory

Yixiong Chen

Department of Mathematics, King's College London, Strand WC2R 2LS, UK

arXiv:1601.03702v3 [cond-mat.stat-mech] 1 Jul 2016

Abstract

Using the "Liouville space" (the space of operators) of the massive Dirac theory, we define mixed-state form factors of $U(1)$ twist fields. We consider mixed states with density matrices diagonal in the asymptotic particle basis. This includes the thermal Gibbs state as well as all generalized Gibbs ensembles of the Dirac theory. When the mixed state is specialized to a thermal Gibbs state, using a Riemann-Hilbert problem and low-temperature expansion, we obtain finite-temperature form factors of $U(1)$ twist fields. We then propose the expression for form factors of $U(1)$ twist fields in general diagonal mixed states. We verify that these form factors satisfy a system of nonlinear functional differential equations, which is derived from the trace definition of mixed-state form factors. At last, under weak analytic conditions on the eigenvalues of the density matrix, we write down the large distance form factor expansions of two-point correlation functions of these twist fields. Using the relation between the Dirac and Ising models, this provides the large-distance expansion of the Rényi entropy (for integer Rényi parameter) in the Ising model in diagonal mixed states.

March 2016

Contents

1 Introduction **1**

2 Dirac theory at zero temperature **3**
2.1 Dirac fermions 3
2.2 Bosonic primary twist fields and their form factors 5
2.3 Fermionic primary twist fields and their form factors 6

3 Liouville space and mixed-state form factors **7**

4 Calculations and main results **9**
4.1 Finite-temperature form factors of $U(1)$ twist fields 10
4.1.1 Riemann-Hilbert problem 10
4.1.2 Low-temperature expansion 12
4.2 Mixed-state form factors of $U(1)$ twist fields 14
4.2.1 Exact mixed-state form factors of $U(1)$ twist fields 14
4.2.2 Non-linear functional differential system of equations 15
4.2.3 General solution as integral-operator kernel 18
4.3 Mixed-state two-point correlation functions of twist fields 22
4.3.1 Three modifications 23
4.3.2 Two-point correlation functions 24

5 Application: Rényi entropy for integer n in the Ising model **25**
5.1 Branch-point twist fields in the n-copy Ising model 25
5.2 Explicit representation of the branch-point twist fields in the n-copy Ising model in terms of the $U(1)$ twist fields in the n-copy Dirac theory 26
5.3 Rényi entropy for integer n 28

6 Conclusion **29**

A Proof of relation (4.50) **30**

B Recursion relation for the normalization of mixed-state form factors of $U(1)$ twist fields **31**

1 Introduction

Correlation functions of local fields play an important role in quantum field theory (QFT) because they yield all physical information of the model and they are directly related to experiment results in condensed matter systems near criticality. However, in general, the evaluation of correlation functions in QFT is a quite non-trivial work. Fortunately, in 1+1 dimensional integrable models of QFT [1, 2, 3, 4, 5], the existence of an infinite number of conserved charges makes it possible to exactly evaluate many quantities such as form factors, which are matrix elements of local fields in eigenstates of the Hamiltonian. Successes for these models have been achieved

over the last two decades on the determination of vacuum correlation functions via form factor bootstrap approach [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]. For instance, large distance expansions of two-point correlation functions, which are hardly accessible by perturbation theory, can be obtained by form factor expansion (Kallen-Lehmann expansions) [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]under the factorized scattering theory and both their large-distance and short-distance asymptotic behaviors agree with general QFT expectations (see for instance, [6, 7]).

In recent years, correlation functions in general mixed states have attracted growing interest and triggered an enormous amount of work, because of their wide scope of applications both of theoretical and experimental interest. For instance, correlation functions in thermal Gibbs state, which can be related to correlation functions on an infinite cylindrical geometry [8], have been the subject of intense study in massive integrable QFT (see for instance the review [9]). In particular, the Ising model at finite temperature has been widely investigated by employing several approaches including form factor expansions [10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15], integrable differential equations [16, 17], semi-classic methods [18], and the finite volume regularization method [19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24]. On the other hand, more mixed states have been explored, including generalized Gibbs ensembles (GGEs) which have been predicted to occur after quantum quench in integrable models [25, 26, 27, 28, 29], non-equilibrium steady state [30, 31, 32, 33, 34] and others. However, further development still needs to be made in order to clarify how the structure of correlation functions depend on the mixed states in general situations. In this paper, we concentrate on correlation functions of $U(1)$ twist fields in free massive Dirac theory in general mixed states with diagonal density matrix. These density matrices include thermal Gibbs states and generalized Gibbs ensembles.

Twist fields are local fields equipped with non-trivial exchange relations with respect to the fundamental boson fields or fermion fields, associated with a symmetry of the model. The concept of twist fields was first introduced in [35], as $\mathbb{Z}_2$ monodromy field of the Majorana fermion, corresponding to the spin field of the Ising model. In the massive Dirac model, there exist a family of bosonic primary twist fields and two families of fermionic primary twit fields, which are associated with the $U(1)$ symmetry transformation. These $U(1)$ twist fields are interacting fields, due to their semi-locality with respect to the Dirac fermions, even though the model we are considering in this paper is a free massive model with a trivial scattering matrix. Vacuum form factors of these fields are well known [36, 37, 38] and it has been clear that vacuum correlation functions of $U(1)$ twist fields can be parameterized in terms of solutions to non-linear differential equations [39, 40, 41, 42].

However, there is relatively little known about correlation functions of $U(1)$ twist fields in general mixed states even though we expect same non-linear differential equations for them. In this paper, we obtain for the first time large distance expansions of two-point correlation functions of $U(1)$ twist fields in general diagonal mixed states, using the method of the "Liouville space". This method was initially established in [12, 15] to derive finite-temperature spin-spin correlation functions, and then further developed in [43] to obtain general diagonal mixed-state spin-spin correlation functions, both in the Ising model of QFT. The Liouville space construction [44, 45] is based on the GNS construction of C^*-algebras [46] and it has applications in thermal and non-equilibrium physics. In the present paper, we apply this method to the free Dirac theory. We define and evaluate the associated mixed-state form factors of $U(1)$ twist fields, and then formulate mixed-state two-point functions of these fields using form factor expansion with

respect to the vacuum in the Liouville space.

At zero temperature, the ordinary form factors are mostly obtained by solving a Riemann-Hilbert problem in terms of form factors as functions of rapidities. However, when it comes to the case of general mixed states, this method exhibits some difficulties due to the inability to know the analytic structure of the general density matrix. In this paper, we first evaluate finite-temperature form factors of $U(1)$ twist fields by deriving low-temperature expansions of thermal form factors and setting up a similar Riemann-Hilbert problem as the one in [12, 15] for finite-temperature factors in the Ising model. Considering the way how these finite-temperature form factors rely on the eigenvalues of density matrix, we then conjecture an explicit expression for general diagonal mixed-state form factors of $U(1)$ twist fields. These conjectured form factors are proven to be the solution of a system of non-linear first-order functional differential equations for mixed-state form factors. In the derivation of finite-temperature form factors, we employ imaginary-time formalism [8] to relate to quantization on the circle and to derive Kubo-Martin-Schwinger (KMS) identity leading to the Riemann-Hilbert problem. But, in the case of general mixed states, we perform real-time manipulation since there is no clear quantization scheme related in imaginary time.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we review the basic concepts of the free massive Dirac theory, and provide a summary of $U(1)$ twist fields and their vacuum form factors. In section 3, we construct the Liouville space and define the mixed-state form factors in the Dirac model. In section 4, we present calculations leading to our main results which include form factors of $U(1)$ twist fields in general diagonal mixed states and the corresponding two-point correlation functions. In section 5, we apply our result of the mixed-state two-point correlation function of $U(1)$ twist fields to compute the Rényi entropy for integer n in the Ising model. Finally, we conclude in Section 6.

2 Dirac theory at zero temperature

2.1 Dirac fermions

In the free massive Dirac theory, fermion operators with evolution in real time t are given in terms of mode operators $D_\pm(\theta)$ and $D_\pm^\dagger(\theta)$:

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_R(x,t) &= \sqrt{m}\int d\theta e^{\theta/2}\left(D_+^\dagger(\theta)e^{itE_\theta-ixp_\theta}-iD_-(\theta)e^{-itE_\theta+ixp_\theta}\right)\\ \Psi_L(x,t) &= \sqrt{m}\int d\theta e^{-\theta/2}\left(iD_+^\dagger(\theta)e^{itE_\theta-ixp_\theta}-D_-(\theta)e^{-itE_\theta+ixp_\theta}\right)\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}E_\theta &= m\cosh\theta\,,\\ p_\theta &= m\sinh\theta\,.\end{aligned}$$

The Hermitian Conjugate of fermion operators can be obtained by directly taking Hermitian Conjugate of (2.1):

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_R^\dagger(x,t) &= \sqrt{m}\int d\theta e^{\theta/2}\left(iD_-^\dagger(\theta)e^{itE_\theta - ixp_\theta} + D_+(\theta)e^{-itE_\theta+ixp_\theta}\right)\\ \Psi_L^\dagger(x,t) &= \sqrt{m}\int d\theta e^{-\theta/2}\left(-D_-^\dagger(\theta)e^{itE_\theta - ixp_\theta} - iD_+(\theta)e^{-itE_\theta+ixp_\theta}\right)\ .\end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

The creation and annihilation operators satisfy canonical anti-commutation relations:

$$\begin{aligned}\{D_+^\dagger(\theta_1), D_+(\theta_2)\} &= \delta(\theta_1-\theta_2)\\ \{D_-^\dagger(\theta_1), D_-(\theta_2)\} &= \delta(\theta_1-\theta_2)\end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

with other anti-commutators vanishing. The fermion operators satisfy the equations of motion

$$\begin{aligned}\bar\partial\Psi_R &= m\Psi_L, \quad \partial\Psi_L = m\Psi_R\\ \bar\partial\Psi_R^\dagger &= m\Psi_L^\dagger, \quad \partial\Psi_L^\dagger = m\Psi_R^\dagger\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

where we define notations $\partial := \partial_x - \partial_t$ and $\bar\partial := \partial_x + \partial_t$, and their anti-commutation relations are

$$\begin{aligned}\{\Psi_R(x_1), \Psi_R^\dagger(x_2)\} &= 4\pi\delta(x_1-x_2)\\ \{\Psi_L(x_1), \Psi_L^\dagger(x_2)\} &= 4\pi\delta(x_1-x_2)\end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

with other anti-commutators vanishing. The Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ is simply the Fock space over algebra (2.3) with vacuum state defined by $D_\pm|\text{vac}\rangle = 0$ and with multi-particle states denoted by

$$|\theta_1,\ldots,\theta_N\rangle_{\nu_1,\ldots,\nu_N} := D_{\nu_1}^\dagger\cdots D_{\nu_N}^\dagger|\text{vac}\rangle, \qquad \theta_1 > \cdots > \theta_N \tag{2.6}$$

where ν_i are signs($\pm$), corresponding with particle type. Multi-particle states with different ordering can be obtained upon exchange of two particles (θ_i,ν_i) and (θ_j,ν_j), in agreement with (2.3). The inner products are normalized as follows:

$${}_{\nu_1,\ldots,\nu_N}\langle\theta_1,\ldots,\theta_N|\theta'_1,\ldots,\theta'_N\rangle_{\nu'_1,\ldots,\nu'_N} = \prod_{i=1}^N \delta_{\nu_i,\nu'_i}\delta(\theta_i-\theta'_i). \tag{2.7}$$

Then the resolution of the identity are written as:

$$\mathbf{1} = \sum_{N=0}^\infty \frac{1}{N!}\sum_{\nu_1,\ldots,\nu_N}\int_{-\infty}^\infty d\theta_1\cdots\int_{-\infty}^\infty d\theta_N\,|\theta_1,\ldots,\theta_N\rangle_{\nu_1,\ldots,\nu_N}\ {}_{\nu_1,\ldots,\nu_N}\langle\theta_1,\ldots,\theta_N| \tag{2.8}$$

where $N!$ in the denominator comes from overcounting the same state with different orderings of rapidities.

2.2 Bosonic primary twist fields and their form factors

The Dirac theory possesses a $U(1)$ internal symmetry $\Psi_{R,L} \mapsto e^{2\pi i\alpha}\Psi_{R,L}$ where $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ and there exists a family of primary twist fields $\sigma_\alpha(x,t)$ associated with this symmetry, which are local, Lorentz spinless, and $U(1)$ neutral, with dimension α^2 [40]. These fields generate even number of fermions and hence are of bosonic statistics. The bosonic primary twist fields with negative index can be defined by Hermitian conjugation:

$$\sigma_\alpha^\dagger = \sigma_{-\alpha}\,, \qquad 0 \leq \alpha < 1\,. \tag{2.9}$$

Twist fields σ_α are associated with branch cuts through which other fields are affected by the $U(1)$ symmetry transformation. These branch cuts, in principle, can be taken arbitrarily. Here, for convention, we denote by σ_α^+ the twist fields with branch cuts running towards the right direction, while by σ_α^- the ones with branch cuts running towards the left direction. These two types of twist fields are related to each other by an unitary operator

$$Z := \exp\left[\sum_\nu 2\pi i\nu\alpha \int d\theta D_\nu^\dagger(\theta)D_\nu(\theta)\right] \tag{2.10}$$

which implements the $U(1)$ symmetry transformation and we have

$$\sigma_\alpha^-(x,t) = \sigma_\alpha^+(x,t)Z\,. \tag{2.11}$$

Twist fields σ_α^η with $\eta = \pm$ are semi-local with respect to the Dirac fermion fields, and are characterized by equal-time exchange relations

$$\Psi_{R,L}(x)\sigma_\alpha^\eta(0) = \begin{cases} \left(\delta_{\eta,-}e^{2\pi i\eta\alpha} + \delta_{\eta,+}\right)\sigma_\alpha^\eta(0)\Psi_{R,L}(x) & (x<0) \\ \left(\delta_{\eta,+}e^{2\pi i\eta\alpha} + \delta_{\eta,-}\right)\sigma_\alpha^\eta(0)\Psi_{R,L}(x) & (x>0) \end{cases} \tag{2.12}$$

and

$$\Psi_{R,L}^\dagger(x)\sigma_\alpha^\eta(0) = \begin{cases} \left(\delta_{\eta,-}e^{-2\pi i\eta\alpha} + \delta_{\eta,+}\right)\sigma_\alpha^\eta(0)\Psi_{R,L}^\dagger(x) & (x<0) \\ \left(\delta_{\eta,+}e^{-2\pi i\eta\alpha} + \delta_{\eta,-}\right)\sigma_\alpha^\eta(0)\Psi_{R,L}^\dagger(x) & (x>0)\,. \end{cases} \tag{2.13}$$

Thanks to these twist conditions, two-particle form factors of twist fields σ_α^η for $-1 < \alpha < 1$ can be fixed, up to normalization, [35, 36, 37, 38](see also appendix A of [39]):

$$\langle \mathrm{vac}|\sigma_\alpha^\eta(0)|\theta_1,\theta_2\rangle_{\nu_1,\nu_2} = \delta_{\nu_1,-\nu_2}\,\nu_1\frac{\sin(\pi\alpha)}{2\pi i}\frac{e^{\nu_1\alpha(\theta_1-\theta_2)}}{\cosh\frac{\theta_1-\theta_2}{2}}\langle\sigma_\alpha\rangle \tag{2.14}$$

where $\langle\sigma_\alpha\rangle := \langle\mathrm{vac}|\sigma_\alpha^\eta|\mathrm{vac}\rangle = c_\alpha m^{\alpha^2}$ is the vacuum expectation value. The dimensionless constants c_α are computed in [47, 48]. All other higher-particle form factors can be obtained by Wick's theorem due to the fact that twist fields σ_α^η can be expressed as normal-ordered exponentials of bilinear expressions in Dirac fermion operators. Other matrix elements can be evaluated using crossing symmetry. Note that twist fields σ_α^η have non-zero form factors only for even particle numbers since they are $U(1)$ neutral. Finally, it is natural for us to define twist fields σ_α for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}\setminus\mathbb{Z}^*$ by noticing that their form factors for fixed rapidities are analytic functions of α on $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\mathbb{Z}^*$, with general poles on $\mathbb{Z}^* := \mathbb{Z}\setminus\{0\}$.

2.3 Fermionic primary twist fields and their form factors

In the $U(1)$ Dirac theory, there also exist two families of primary twist fields of fermionic statistics, which can be obtained as the coefficients occuring in the operator product expansions (OPEs) of primary twist fields σ_α with the Dirac fields Ψ_R and $\Psi_R^\dagger$, for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}^*$:

$$\sigma_{\alpha+1,\alpha}(x,t) = \lim_{z\to w}(z-w)^{\alpha}\Psi_R^\dagger(x',t')\sigma_\alpha(x,t) \tag{2.15}$$

$$\sigma_{\alpha-1,\alpha}(x,t) = \lim_{z\to w}(z-w)^{-\alpha}\Psi_R(x',t')\sigma_\alpha(x,t) \tag{2.16}$$

where $z=-\frac{1}{2}(x'-t')$ and $w=-\frac{1}{2}(x-t)$ with the time ordering $t'>t$. The factors $(z-w)^\alpha$ and $(z-w)^{-\alpha}$ are taken on the principal branch. Twist fields $\sigma_{\alpha\pm1,\alpha}$ have charges ∓ 1 , spins $\pm\alpha+1/2$, and dimensions $\alpha^2\pm\alpha+1/2$. Their Hermitian conjugations are given by

$$\sigma^\dagger_{\alpha\pm1,\alpha} = \sigma_{-\alpha\mp1,-\alpha}\,. \tag{2.17}$$

Again, we define two types of fermionic primary twist fields: $\sigma^+_{\alpha\pm1,\alpha}$ with branch cuts on the right and $\sigma^-_{\alpha\pm1,\alpha}$ with branch cuts on the left, which are related to each other by the unitary operator Z

$$\sigma^-_{\alpha\pm1,\alpha} = \sigma^+_{\alpha\pm1,\alpha}Z\,. \tag{2.18}$$

From the definitions (2.15) (2.16) and twist properties of σ^η_α (2.12) (2.13), these twist fields should obey non-trivial equal-time exchange relations with the Dirac fermion fields

$$\Psi_{R,L}(x)\sigma^\eta_{\alpha\pm1,\alpha}(0) = \begin{cases} -\left(\delta_{\eta,-}e^{2\pi i\eta\alpha}+\delta_{\eta,+}\right)\sigma^\eta_{\alpha\pm1,\alpha}(0)\Psi_{R,L}(x) & (x<0) \\ -\left(\delta_{\eta,+}e^{2\pi i\eta\alpha}+\delta_{\eta,-}\right)\sigma^\eta_{\alpha\pm1,\alpha}(0)\Psi_{R,L}(x) & (x>0) \end{cases} \tag{2.19}$$

and

$$\Psi^\dagger_{R,L}(x)\sigma^\eta_{\alpha\pm1,\alpha}(0) = \begin{cases} -\left(\delta_{\eta,-}e^{-2\pi i\eta\alpha}+\delta_{\eta,+}\right)\sigma^\eta_{\alpha\pm1,\alpha}(0)\Psi^\dagger_{R,L}(x) & (x<0) \\ -\left(\delta_{\eta,+}e^{-2\pi i\eta\alpha}+\delta_{\eta,-}\right)\sigma^\eta_{\alpha\pm1,\alpha}(0)\Psi^\dagger_{R,L}(x) & (x>0)\,. \end{cases} \tag{2.20}$$

One-particle form factors of these twist fields can be deduced in the OPEs [41]:

$$\langle \mathrm{vac}|\sigma^\eta_{\alpha+1,\alpha}(0)|\theta\rangle_\nu = \delta_{\nu,+}\frac{e^{-i\pi\alpha/2}e^{2\pi i\nu\alpha\delta_{\eta,-}}}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)}m^{\alpha+1/2}e^{(\alpha+1/2)\theta}\langle\sigma_\alpha\rangle \tag{2.21}$$

$$\langle \mathrm{vac}|\sigma^\eta_{\alpha-1,\alpha}(0)|\theta\rangle_\nu = -i\delta_{\nu,-}\frac{e^{i\pi\alpha/2}e^{2\pi i\nu\alpha\delta_{\eta,-}}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}m^{-\alpha+1/2}e^{(-\alpha+1/2)\theta}\langle\sigma_\alpha\rangle\,. \tag{2.22}$$

Any higher-particle form factors can be factorised into a product of the associated one-particle form factor and two-particle form factors due to Wick's theorem. Other matrix elements can be obtained by crossing symmetry.

It is worth noting that we can obtain the same families of fermionic primary twist fields $\sigma_{\alpha,\alpha-1}$ and $\sigma_{\alpha,\alpha+1}$ [41, 42] by shifting $\alpha\mapsto\alpha-1$ in $\sigma_{\alpha+1,\alpha}$ and shifting $\alpha\mapsto\alpha+1$ in $\sigma_{\alpha-1,\alpha}$ respectively. These fields are just a relabelling of the same fermionic primary twist fields.

3 Liouville space and mixed-state form factors

The Liouville space $\mathcal{L}_\rho$ for the $U(1)$ Dirac theory is the space of the operators $\mathrm{End}(\mathcal{H})$, with inner product specified by the density matrix ρ

$$ {}^{\rho}\langle A|B\rangle^{\rho} = \frac{\mathrm{Tr}\left(\rho\, A^\dagger B\right)}{\mathrm{Tr}\left(\rho\right)} \tag{3.1} $$

where $|A\rangle^\rho$ and $|B\rangle^\rho$ are the corresponding Liouville states, with $A, B \in \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{H})$. In the present paper, we confine ourselves to the density matrices ρ that are diagonal on the asymptotic state basis:

$$ \rho = \exp\left[-\int d\theta \sum_\nu W_\nu(\theta)\, D^\dagger_\nu(\theta) D_\nu(\theta)\right] \tag{3.2} $$

where functions $W_\nu(\theta)$ with $\nu = \pm$ are integrable on the real line and make the density matrices well-defined. We consider two cases: the untwisted and twisted cases. In the untwisted case, we consider the density matrix (3.2). In the twisted case, we consider the density matrix $\rho^\sharp$ with the presence of two extra unitary operators $e^{2\pi i\nu\alpha\int d\theta D^\dagger_\nu(\theta)D_\nu(\theta)}$ which implement the $U(1)$ symmetry:

$$ \rho^\sharp = \exp\left[-\int d\theta \sum_\nu W^\sharp_\nu(\theta)\, D^\dagger_\nu(\theta) D_\nu(\theta)\right] \tag{3.3} $$

where $W^\sharp_\nu(\theta) = W_\nu(\theta) + 2\pi i\nu\alpha$.

The Liouville space is spanned by a set of products of creation and annihilation operators in Hilbert space with some particular normalization:

$$ |\mathrm{vac}\rangle^\rho \equiv \mathbf{1}, \quad |\theta_1,\ldots,\theta_N\rangle^\rho_{(\nu_1,\epsilon_1)\ldots(\nu_N,\epsilon_N)} \equiv Q^\rho_{(\nu_1,\epsilon_1)\ldots(\nu_N,\epsilon_N)}(\theta_1,\ldots,\theta_N)\, D^{\epsilon_1}_{\nu_1}(\theta_1)\cdots D^{\epsilon_N}_{\nu_N}(\theta_N), \tag{3.4} $$

with the ordering $\theta_1 > \cdots > \theta_N$, where the normalization factors are chosen as

$$ Q^\rho_{(\nu_1,\epsilon_1)\ldots(\nu_N,\epsilon_N)}(\theta_1,\ldots,\theta_N) \;:= \prod_{i=1}^N \left(1 + e^{-\epsilon_i W_{\nu_i}(\theta_i)}\right), \tag{3.5} $$

and where we denote by D^-_ν the annihilation operators D_ν and by D^+_ν the creation operators $D^\dagger_\nu$. Here, we refer to a doublet (ν,ϵ) as representing the type of a "Liouville particle" of rapidity θ. In this sense, our Liouville space can be interpreted as the space of particles and holes excitations from the Liouville vacuum which consists of a number of different types of particles with density ρ. Using the cyclic property of the trace and the canonical anti-commutation relations, the inner product of basis states can be deduced as

$$ {}^{\rho}_{(\nu_1,\epsilon_1)\ldots(\nu_N,\epsilon_N)}\langle\theta_1,\ldots,\theta_N|\theta'_1,\ldots,\theta'_N\rangle^\rho_{(\nu'_1,\epsilon'_1)\ldots(\nu'_N,\epsilon'_N)} = \prod_{i=1}^N \left[\left(1 + e^{-\epsilon_i W_{\nu_i}(\theta_i)}\right)\delta_{\nu_i,\nu'_i}\,\delta_{\epsilon_i,\epsilon'_i}\,\delta(\theta_i-\theta'_i)\right] \tag{3.6} $$

with the ordering $\theta_1 > \cdots > \theta_N$ and $\theta'_1 > \cdots > \theta'_N$.

Every operator $A \in \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{H})$ can be mapped to an operator $A^\ell \in \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{L}_\rho)$ by a linear Liouville left-action

$$A^\ell \in \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{L}_\rho) \ : \ A^\ell |B\rangle^\rho = |AB\rangle^\rho. \tag{3.7}$$

To $D^\epsilon_\nu(\theta)$, their associated Liouville operators are

$$[D^\epsilon_\nu(\theta)]^\ell = \frac{\mathbf{Z}^\dagger_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta)}{1+e^{-\epsilon W_\nu(\theta)}} + \frac{\mathbf{Z}_{\nu,-\epsilon}(\theta)}{1+e^{\epsilon W_\nu(\theta)}} \tag{3.8}$$

where $\mathbf{Z}^\dagger_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta)$ and $\mathbf{Z}_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta)$ are both defined as Liouville mode operators satisfying anti-commutation relations

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathbf{Z}_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta), \mathbf{Z}^\dagger_{\nu',\epsilon'}(\theta')\} &= \left(1+e^{-\epsilon W_\nu(\theta)}\right) \delta_{\nu,\nu'}\, \delta_{\epsilon,\epsilon'}\, \delta(\theta-\theta') & (3.9)\\ \{\mathbf{Z}_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta), \mathbf{Z}_{\nu',\epsilon'}(\theta')\} &= \{\mathbf{Z}^\dagger_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta), \mathbf{Z}^\dagger_{\nu',\epsilon'}(\theta')\} = 0\ . & (3.10) \end{aligned}$$

The Liouville space can be seen as the Fock space over this algebra,

$$\mathbf{Z}_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta)|\mathrm{vac}\rangle^\rho = 0, \quad |\theta_1,\ldots,\theta_N\rangle^\rho_{(\nu_1,\epsilon_1)\ldots(\nu_N,\epsilon_N)} = \mathbf{Z}^\dagger_{\nu_1,\epsilon_1}(\theta_1)\cdots\mathbf{Z}^\dagger_{\nu_N,\epsilon_N}(\theta_N)|\mathrm{vac}\rangle^\rho. \tag{3.11}$$

With the definitions above, it is obvious to see that the mixed-state averages of operators on $\mathcal{H}$ are vacuum expectation values on $\mathcal{L}_\rho$:

$$\langle A\rangle_\rho = {}^\rho\langle \mathrm{vac}|A^\ell|\mathrm{vac}\rangle^\rho. \tag{3.12}$$

Using the resolution of the identity in the Liouville space

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{1}^\ell \ = \ & \sum_{N=0}^\infty \sum_{\nu_1,\ldots,\nu_N} \sum_{\epsilon_1,\ldots,\epsilon_N} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \left[\frac{d\theta_1\cdots d\theta_N}{N!\prod_{j=1}^N \left(1+e^{-\epsilon_j W_{\nu_i}(\theta_j)}\right)} \right. \\ & \left. \times |\theta_1,\ldots,\theta_N\rangle^\rho_{(\nu_1,\epsilon_1)\ldots(\nu_N,\epsilon_N)\ (\nu_1,\epsilon_1)\ldots(\nu_N,\epsilon_N)} {}^\rho\langle\theta_1,\ldots,\theta_N| \right] \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

where $N!$ in the denominator comes from overcounting the same basis state, two-point functions, such as

$$\langle \mathcal{O}(x,\tau)\mathcal{O}^\dagger(0)\rangle_\rho = {}^\rho\langle \mathrm{vac}|\mathcal{O}(x,\tau)^\ell \mathcal{O}^\dagger(0,0)^\ell|\mathrm{vac}\rangle^\rho$$

should have a spectral decomposition on $\mathcal{L}_\rho$, where we define the matrix elements of left-action operators in the Liouville space as mixed-state form factors

$$f^{\rho;\mathcal{O}}_{(\nu_1,\epsilon_1)\ldots(\nu_N,\epsilon_N)}(\theta_1,\ldots,\theta_N) := {}^\rho\langle \mathrm{vac}|\mathcal{O}(0,0)^\ell|\theta_1,\ldots,\theta_N\rangle^\rho_{(\nu_1,\epsilon_1)\ldots(\nu_N,\epsilon_N)}\ . \tag{3.14}$$

With the definition (3.14) and anti-commutation relations (3.10), the mixed-state form factors satisfy the relations

$$\begin{aligned} & f^{\rho;\mathcal{O}}_{(\nu_1,\epsilon_1)\cdots(\nu_j,\epsilon_j)(\nu_{j+1},\epsilon_{j+1})\cdots(\nu_N,\epsilon_N)}(\theta_1,\ldots,\theta_j,\theta_{j+1},\ldots,\theta_N) \\ = \ & -f^{\rho;\mathcal{O}}_{(\nu_1,\epsilon_1)\cdots(\nu_{j+1},\epsilon_{j+1})(\nu_j,\epsilon_j)\cdots(\nu_N,\epsilon_N)}(\theta_1,\ldots,\theta_{j+1},\theta_j,\ldots,\theta_N)\ . \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

The cyclicity of traces leads to the relation

$$ {}^{\rho}_{(\nu_1,\epsilon_1)\cdots(\nu_N,\epsilon_N)}\langle\theta_1,\dots,\theta_N|\mathcal{O}^\ell|\mathrm{vac}\rangle^\rho = f^{\rho;\mathcal{O}}_{(\nu_N,-\epsilon_N)\cdots(\nu_1,-\epsilon_1)}(\theta_N,\dots,\theta_1). \tag{3.16}$$

According to (3.12), the mixed-state form factors are essentially traces with insertion of operators $D^\epsilon_\nu(\theta)$, up to an overall factor $Q^\rho_{(\nu_1,\epsilon_1)\cdots(\nu_N,\epsilon_N)}(\theta_1,\dots,\theta_N)$ and up to the subtraction of contact terms at colliding rapidities:

$$\begin{aligned} &f^{\rho;\mathcal{O}}_{(\nu_1,\epsilon_1)\cdots(\nu_N,\epsilon_N)}(\theta_1,\dots,\theta_N) \\ &= \Big[Q^\rho_{(\nu_1,\epsilon_1)\cdots(\nu_N,\epsilon_N)}(\theta_1,\dots,\theta_N)\langle\mathcal{O}\, D^{\epsilon_1}_{\nu_1}(\theta_1)\cdots D^{\epsilon_N}_{\nu_N}(\theta_N)\rangle_\rho\Big]_{\text{connected}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

For example, two-particle mixed-state form factors can be written as

$$f^{\rho;\mathcal{O}}_{(\nu_1,\epsilon_1)(\nu_2,\epsilon_2)}(\theta_1,\theta_2) = Q^\rho_{(\nu_1,\epsilon_1)(\nu_2,\epsilon_2)}(\theta_1,\theta_2)\langle\mathcal{O}D^{\epsilon_1}_{\nu_1}(\theta_1)D^{\epsilon_2}_{\nu_2}(\theta_2)\rangle_\rho - {}^{\rho}_{\nu_2,-\epsilon_2}\langle\theta_2|\theta_1\rangle^\rho_{\nu_1,\epsilon_1}\langle\mathcal{O}\rangle_\rho\,. \tag{3.18}$$

Again, using cyclicity of the trace, we have

$$ {}^{\rho}_{\nu_2,\epsilon_2}\langle\theta_2|\mathcal{O}^\ell|\theta_1\rangle^\rho_{\nu_1,\epsilon_1} = f^{\rho;\mathcal{O}}_{(\nu_1,\epsilon_1)(\nu_2,-\epsilon_2)}(\theta_1,\theta_2) + \langle\mathcal{O}\rangle_\rho\ {}^{\rho}_{\nu_2,\epsilon_2}\langle\theta_2|\theta_1\rangle^\rho_{\nu_1,\epsilon_1}, \tag{3.19}$$

$$ {}^{\rho}_{(\nu_2,\epsilon_2)(\nu_1,\epsilon_1)}\langle\theta_2,\theta_1|\mathcal{O}^\ell|\mathrm{vac}\rangle^\rho = f^{\rho;\mathcal{O}}_{(\nu_1,-\epsilon_1)(\nu_2,-\epsilon_2)}(\theta_1,\theta_2) + \langle\mathcal{O}\rangle_\rho\ {}^{\rho}_{\nu_2,\epsilon_2}\langle\theta_2|\theta_1\rangle^\rho_{\nu_1,-\epsilon_1}. \tag{3.20}$$

Similar equations for higher numbers of particles can be obtained in the same fashion.

4 Calculations and main results

Before we start, let us define the normalized mixed-state form factors

$$f^\eta_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta) := \langle\sigma^\eta_\alpha\rangle^{-1}_\rho f^{\rho;\mu^\eta}_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta)\,, \tag{4.1}$$

$$f^\eta_{(\nu_1,\epsilon_1)(\nu_2,\epsilon_2)}(\theta_1,\theta_2) := \langle\sigma^\eta_\alpha\rangle^{-1}_\rho f^{\rho;\sigma^\eta_\alpha}_{(\nu_1,\epsilon_1)(\nu_2,\epsilon_2)}(\theta_1,\theta_2)\,, \tag{4.2}$$

where $f^{\rho;\mu^\eta}_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta) := \delta_{\nu,-\epsilon}f^{\rho;\sigma^\eta_{\alpha-1,\alpha}}_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta) + \delta_{\nu,\epsilon}f^{\rho;\sigma^\eta_{\alpha+1,\alpha}}_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta)$ and $\langle\sigma^\eta_\alpha\rangle_\rho$ is the normalization, and their pure-state limits

$$f^{(0)\eta}_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta) := \lim_{W_\pm\to\infty} f^\eta_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta)\,, \tag{4.3}$$

$$f^{(0)\eta}_{(\nu_1,\epsilon_1)(\nu_2,\epsilon_2)}(\theta_1,\theta_2) := \lim_{W_\pm\to\infty} f^\eta_{(\nu_1,\epsilon_1)(\nu_2,\epsilon_2)}(\theta_1,\theta_2)\,. \tag{4.4}$$

Using the trace definition of mixed-state form factors and the ordinary form factors of $U(1)$ twist fields in Hilbert space, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f^{(0)+}_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta) &= (-i\epsilon\delta_{\nu,-}+\delta_{\nu,+})\,\frac{e^{-i\pi\nu\alpha/2}}{\Gamma(1+\nu\epsilon\alpha)}m^{\nu\epsilon\alpha+1/2}e^{(\nu\epsilon\alpha+1/2)\theta}\,, \\ f^{(0)-}_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta) &= f^{(0)+}_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta)e^{2\pi i\nu\alpha\delta_{\epsilon,+}} \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

and

$$f^{(0)\eta}_{(\nu_1,\epsilon_1)(\nu_2,\epsilon_2)}(\theta_1,\theta_2) = \delta_{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}\delta_{\nu_1,-\nu_2}\,\nu_1\epsilon_1\frac{\sin(\pi\alpha)}{2\pi i}\frac{e^{\nu_1\epsilon_1\alpha(\theta_1-\theta_2)}}{\cosh(\frac{\theta_1-\theta_2}{2})} + \delta_{\epsilon_1,-\epsilon_2}\delta_{\nu_1,\nu_2}\,i\nu_1\frac{\sin(\pi\alpha)}{2\pi i}\frac{e^{\nu_1\epsilon_1\alpha(\theta_1-\theta_2)}e^{-i\pi\eta\nu_1\alpha}}{\sinh\left(\frac{\theta_1-\theta_2+\eta i(\epsilon_1-\epsilon_2)0^+}{2}\right)}\,. \tag{4.6}$$

4.1 Finite-temperature form factors of $U(1)$ twist fields

4.1.1 Riemann-Hilbert problem

Since our mixed-state form factors are defined as traces, one traditional way to evaluate them is just directly performing the traces with the help of the known matrix elements on Hilbert space. However, this procedure involves a re-summation of disconnected terms, leaving a quite complicated computation. Particularly, for $U(1)$ twist fields of current interest, the re-summation will be infinite, because twist fields are normal-ordered exponentials of bilinear combinations and they have non-zero matrix elements for arbitrarily large number of particles. Therefore, this method seems to break down for evaluating mixed-state form factors of $U(1)$ twist fields.

At zero temperature, form factors are functions of rapidities and they satisfy a set of analytic conditions which form the Riemann-Hilbert problem. By solving these equations, form factors can be fully fixed up to normalizations. Unfortunately, even in our Louville space set-up, this technique is hardly applicable to the evaluation of mixed-state form factors because the analytic structure of the function $W_\nu(\theta)$ in general is not accessible. However, we can begin by calculating finite-temperature form factors of $U(1)$ twist fields and a Riemann-Hilbert problem can be derived in terms of thermal one-particle form factors.

In thermal Gibbs state with the untwisted density matrix, where $W_\pm(\theta) = LE_\theta$ (L is inverse temperature), we consider two-point functions in imaginary-time formalism

$$g(x,\tau) = {}^{\rho}\langle \mathrm{vac}|\sigma^{\eta}_{(\alpha-\nu(-1),\alpha)}\,(0,0)^{\ell}\,\Psi_R^{-\nu}(x,\tau)^{\ell}|\mathrm{vac}\rangle^{\rho} \tag{4.7}$$

where we denote by $\Psi^{\mp}$ the fermion operator Ψ and its Hermitian Conjugation $\Psi^\dagger$ respectively. Using Dirac fermions' mode expansions (2.1), (2.2), and Liouville left-action , two-point functions $g(x,\tau)$ can be written as finite-temperature form factor expansions:

$$g(x,\tau) = \sqrt{m}\int d\theta e^{\theta/2}\left[i\frac{f^{\rho;\mu^\eta}_{\nu,+}(\theta)}{1+e^{-LE_\theta}}e^{\tau E_\theta - ixP_\theta} + \frac{f^{\rho;\mu^\eta}_{-\nu,-}(\theta)}{1+e^{LE_\theta}}e^{-\tau E_\theta + ixP_\theta}\right]. \tag{4.8}$$

Thanks to twist conditions (2.19) and (2.20), we can derive KMS relations at finite temperature in the Dirac theory:

$$g(x,\tau) = -\left(\delta_{\eta,+}\,e^{-\eta\nu 2\pi i\alpha} + \delta_{\eta,-}\right)g(x,\tau-L) \qquad (x>0) \tag{4.9}$$

$$g(x,\tau) = -\left(\delta_{\eta,-}\,e^{-\eta\nu 2\pi i\alpha} + \delta_{\eta,+}\right)g(x,\tau-L) \qquad (x<0)\,. \tag{4.10}$$

To make sense of KMS relations (4.9) and (4.10), one-particle form factor $f^{\rho;\mu^\eta}_{\nu,+}(\theta)$ and $f^{\rho;\mu^\eta}_{-\nu,-}(\theta)$ should satisfy the following requirements:

1. Analytic structure: $f^{\rho;\mu^\eta}_{\nu,+}(\theta)$ and $f^{\rho;\mu^\eta}_{-\nu,-}(\theta)$ are analytic as functions of θ on the complex plane except at some simple poles. Analytic structure is specialized in the region $\mathrm{Im}(\theta) \in [-i\pi, i\pi]$:

   (a) Thermal poles and zeroes:

   $f^{\rho;\mu^\eta}_{\nu,+}(\theta)$ has poles at

   $$\theta = \gamma^\nu_n - \eta\frac{i\pi}{2}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$$

   and zeroes at

   $$\theta = \lambda_n - \eta\frac{i\pi}{2}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}\,;$$

   $f^{\rho;\mu^\eta}_{-\nu,-}(\theta)$ has poles at

   $$\theta = \gamma^\nu_n + \eta\frac{i\pi}{2}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$$

   and zeroes at

   $$\theta = \lambda_n + \eta\frac{i\pi}{2}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$$

   where

   $$\sinh\gamma^\nu_n = \frac{2\pi(n+\nu\alpha)}{mL}\,, \quad \sinh\lambda_n = \frac{2\pi n}{mL}\,,$$

   (b) $f^{\rho;\mu^\eta}_{\nu,+}(\theta)$ and $f^{\rho;\mu^\eta}_{-\nu,-}(\theta)$ are related by relations

   $$f^{\rho;\mu^\eta}_{\nu,+}(\theta \pm i\pi/2) = \pm f^{\rho;\mu^\eta}_{-\nu,-}(\theta \mp i\pi/2) \tag{4.11}$$

   for all θ except $\theta = \gamma^\nu_n$, $n \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$.

2. Crossing symmetry:

   $$f^{\rho;\mu^\eta}_{\nu,+}(\theta \pm i\pi) = \pm f^{\rho;\mu^\eta}_{-\nu,-}(\theta)\,. \tag{4.12}$$

3. Quasi-periodicity:

   $$f^{\rho;\mu^\eta}_{\epsilon\nu,\epsilon}(\theta \pm 2i\pi) = -f^{\rho;\mu^\eta}_{\epsilon\nu,\epsilon}(\theta)\,. \tag{4.13}$$

The derivation of a similar Riemann-Hilbert problem can be found in [12]. Taking into account the properties mentioned above as well as the fact that mixed-state form factors reproduce the ordinary form factors in Hilbert space under the limit $W_\pm(\theta) \to \infty$, and by analogy with thermal form factors of twist fields in Ising model in [12, 15], we conjecture that finite-temperature one-particle form factors of the fermionic $U(1)$ twist field are expressed as a product of the so called "leg-factor" [12, 15, 43] and normalized vacuum one-particle form factor, up to the overall normalization $\langle\sigma^\eta_\alpha\rangle_\rho$:

$$f^{\rho;\mu^\eta}_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta) = f^\eta_{\nu.\epsilon}(\theta)\langle\sigma^\eta_\alpha\rangle_\rho = f^{(0)\eta}_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta)h^\eta_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta)\langle\sigma^\eta_\alpha\rangle_\rho \tag{4.14}$$

with $h^{\eta}_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta)$ the leg-factors:

$$\begin{aligned} h^{\eta}_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta) &= \exp\left[\int \frac{d\theta'}{2\pi i}\frac{A^{\eta}_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta,\theta')}{\cosh(\frac{\theta-\theta'}{2})}\log\left(\frac{1+e^{-LE_{\theta'}}}{1+e^{2\pi i\eta\nu\alpha}e^{-LE_{\theta'}}}\right)\right. \\ &\left. + \int_{-\infty-\eta\epsilon i0^+}^{\infty-\eta\epsilon i0^+}\frac{d\theta'}{2\pi i}\frac{B^{\eta}_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta,\theta')}{\sinh(\frac{\theta-\theta'}{2})}\log\left(\frac{1+e^{-LE_{\theta'}}}{1+e^{-2\pi i\eta\nu\alpha}e^{-LE_{\theta'}}}\right)\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

Factors $A^{\eta}_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta,\theta')$ and $B^{\eta}_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta,\theta')$, due to (4.11),(4.12) and (4.13), must satisfy a set of relations

1.

$$\begin{aligned} A^{\eta}_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta\pm i\pi/2,\theta\pm i\pi/2) &= B^{\eta}_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta\pm i\pi/2,\theta\pm i\pi/2) = -\eta\epsilon/2 \\ A^{\eta}_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta\pm i\pi/2,\theta') &= \pm iB^{\eta}_{-\nu,-\epsilon}(\theta\mp i\pi/2,\theta') \\ B^{\eta}_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta\pm i\pi/2,\theta') &= \pm iA^{\eta}_{-\nu,-\epsilon}(\theta\mp i\pi/2,\theta')\,. \end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

2.

$$\begin{aligned} A^{\eta}_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta\pm i\pi,\theta) &= \pm\eta\epsilon i/2 \\ B^{\eta}_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta\pm i\pi,\theta\pm i\pi) &= -\eta\epsilon/2 \\ A^{\eta}_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta\pm i\pi,\theta') &= \pm iB^{\eta}_{-\nu,-\epsilon}(\theta,\theta') \\ B^{\eta}_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta\pm i\pi,\theta') &= \pm iA^{\eta}_{-\nu,-\epsilon}(\theta,\theta')\,. \end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

3.

$$\begin{aligned} A^{\eta}_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta\pm 2i\pi,\theta\pm i\pi) &= \pm\eta\epsilon i/2 \\ B^{\eta}_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta\pm 2i\pi,\theta) &= \eta\epsilon/2\,. \end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

To fully determine factors $A^{\eta}_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta,\theta')$ and $B^{\eta}_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta,\theta')$, it is intuitive to exploit low-temperature expansions of finite-temperature form factors, which will be discussed in the next subsection.

4.1.2 Low-temperature expansion

Using the trace definition of mixed-state form factors and the factorisation of higher-particle twist field form factors, we can deduce low-temperature expansions of one- and two-particle normalized form factors for $U(1)$ twist fields in powers of e^{-mL} as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} f^{\eta}_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta) &= f^{(0)\eta}_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta) \\ &+ \sum_{\nu'}\int d\theta' e^{-mL\cosh\theta'}\Big[f^{(0)\eta}_{(\nu,\epsilon)(\nu',+)}(\theta,\theta')f^{(0)\eta}_{\nu',-}(\theta') - \\ &f^{(0)\eta}_{(\nu,\epsilon)(\nu',-)}(\theta,\theta')f^{(0)\eta}_{\nu',+}(\theta')\Big] + O(e^{-2mL}) \end{aligned} \tag{4.19}$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
f^{\eta}_{(\nu_1,\epsilon_1)(\nu_2,\epsilon_2)}(\theta_1,\theta_2) &= f^{(0)\eta}_{(\nu_1,\epsilon_1)(\nu_2,\epsilon_2)}(\theta_1,\theta_2) \\
&\quad + \sum_{\nu}\int d\theta e^{-mL\cosh\theta}\Big[f^{(0)\eta}_{(\nu_1,\epsilon_1)(\nu,+)}(\theta_1,\theta) f^{(0)\eta}_{(\nu_2,\epsilon_2)(\nu,-)}(\theta_2,\theta) \\
&\quad - f^{(0)\eta}_{(\nu_1,\epsilon_1)(\nu,-)}(\theta_1,\theta) f^{(0)\eta}_{(\nu_2,\epsilon_2)(\nu,+)}(\theta_2,\theta)\Big] + O(e^{-2mL})\,. \qquad (4.20)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, we turn our attention to the expression of $f^{\eta}_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta)$ in our conjecture (4.14). We taylor expand in $h^{\eta}_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta)$ the two logarithmic terms as functions of e^{-mL} at the point $e^{-mL}=0$ in the low-temperature limit, and we then have

$$
\begin{aligned}
f^{\eta}_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta) &= f^{(0)\eta}_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta) \\
&\quad + f^{(0)\eta}_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta)\Bigg[\int\frac{d\theta'}{2\pi i}\frac{A^{\eta}_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta,\theta')}{\cosh(\frac{\theta-\theta'}{2})}(1-e^{2\pi i\eta\nu\alpha})e^{-LE_{\theta'}} \\
&\quad + \int\frac{d\theta'}{2\pi i}\frac{B^{\eta}_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta,\theta')}{\sinh(\frac{\theta-\theta'}{2})}(1-e^{-2\pi i\eta\nu\alpha})e^{-LE_{\theta'}}\Bigg] \\
&\quad + O(e^{-2mL})\,. \qquad (4.21)
\end{aligned}
$$

By comparing (4.19) and (4.21), we arrive at

$$
A^{\eta}_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta,\theta') = B^{\eta}_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta,\theta') = -\eta\epsilon\,\frac{1}{2}e^{\frac{(\theta'-\theta)}{2}} \qquad (4.22)
$$

which are in agreement with relations (4.16), (4.17) and (4.18). Consequently, finite-temperature one-particle form factors are fully obtained as:

$$
f^{\rho;\mu^{\eta}}_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta) = f^{(0)\eta}_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta)h^{\eta}_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta)\langle\sigma^{\eta}_{\alpha}\rangle_{\rho} \qquad (4.23)
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
h^{\eta}_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta) &= \exp\Bigg[-\eta\epsilon\int\frac{d\theta'}{2\pi i}\frac{\frac{1}{2}e^{\frac{(\theta'-\theta)}{2}}}{\cosh(\frac{\theta-\theta'}{2})}\log\left(\frac{1+e^{-LE_{\theta'}}}{1+e^{2\pi i\eta\nu\alpha}e^{-LE_{\theta'}}}\right) \\
&\quad -\eta\epsilon\int_{-\infty-\eta\epsilon i0^+}^{\infty-\eta\epsilon i0^+}\frac{d\theta'}{2\pi i}\frac{\frac{1}{2}e^{\frac{(\theta'-\theta)}{2}}}{\sinh(\frac{\theta-\theta'}{2})}\log\left(\frac{1+e^{-LE_{\theta'}}}{1+e^{-2\pi i\eta\nu\alpha}e^{-LE_{\theta'}}}\right)\Bigg]\,. \qquad (4.24)
\end{aligned}
$$

This solution is uniquely fixed, up to a normalization, by the asymptotic behavior $f^{\rho;\mu^{\eta}}_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta)\sim O(1)$ at $|\theta|\to\infty$, since the fermionic primary twist field is a primary field of spin 0. Similarly, we postulate that finite-temperature two-particle form factors of $U(1)$ primary twist fields have the structure:

$$
f^{\rho;\sigma^{\eta}_{\alpha}}_{(\nu_1,\epsilon_1)(\nu_2,\epsilon_2)}(\theta_1,\theta_2) = f^{(0)\eta}_{(\nu_1,\epsilon_1)(\nu_2,\epsilon_2)}(\theta_1,\theta_2)h^{\eta}_{\nu_1,\epsilon_1}(\theta_1)h^{\eta}_{\nu_2,\epsilon_2}(\theta_2)\langle\sigma^{\eta}_{\alpha}\rangle_{\rho} \qquad (4.25)
$$

which indeed reproduce the correct ordinary two-particle form factors in the pure-state limit.

4.2 Mixed-state form factors of $U(1)$ twist fields

4.2.1 Exact mixed-state form factors of $U(1)$ twist fields

As we see, in the case of thermal Gibbs state, we can formulate a set of equations and analytic conditions by setting up a Riemann-Hilbert problem. The minimal solutions are finite-temperature one-particle form factors. In the case of general diagonal mixed-state, as we mentioned before, such techniques can not be employed, because we can not assume any analytic property of general $W_\nu(\theta)$. However, after observing that thermal form factors (4.23) and (4.25) depend on function $W_\nu(\theta)$ in a trivial way, it is reasonable to replace in leg-factors (4.24) LE_θ with $W_\nu(\theta)$ or $W_{-\nu}(\theta)$, leading to the following proposition:

Proposition 4.1

I. *The diagonal mixed-state one- and two-particle form factors of $U(1)$ twist fields are given by*

$$f^{\rho;\mu^\eta}_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta) = f^{(0)\eta}_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta) h^\eta_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta) \langle \sigma^\eta_\alpha \rangle_\rho \tag{4.26}$$

$$f^{\rho;\sigma^\eta_\alpha}_{(\nu_1,\epsilon_1)(\nu_2,\epsilon_2)}(\theta_1,\theta_2) = f^{(0)\eta}_{(\nu_1,\epsilon_1)(\nu_2,\epsilon_2)}(\theta_1,\theta_2) h^\eta_{\nu_1,\epsilon_1}(\theta_1) h^\eta_{\nu_2,\epsilon_2}(\theta_2) \langle \sigma^\eta_\alpha \rangle_\rho \tag{4.27}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} h^\eta_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta) = \exp\Bigg[& -\eta\epsilon \int \frac{d\theta'}{2\pi i} \frac{\frac{1}{2} e^{\frac{(\theta'-\theta)}{2}}}{\cosh(\frac{\theta-\theta'}{2})} \log\left(\frac{1+e^{-W_{-\nu}(\theta')}}{1+e^{2\pi i\eta\nu\alpha} e^{-W_{-\nu}(\theta')}} \right) \\ & -\eta\epsilon \int_{-\infty-\eta\epsilon i0^+}^{\infty-\eta\epsilon i0^+} \frac{d\theta'}{2\pi i} \frac{\frac{1}{2} e^{\frac{(\theta'-\theta)}{2}}}{\sinh(\frac{\theta-\theta'}{2})} \log\left(\frac{1+e^{-W_{\nu}(\theta')}}{1+e^{-2\pi i\eta\nu\alpha} e^{-W_{\nu}(\theta')}} \right) \Bigg] . \end{aligned} \tag{4.28}$$

II. *Higher-particle form factors can be evaluated by using Wick's theorem on the particles. The overall normalization is $\langle \sigma^\eta_\alpha \rangle_\rho$, the contraction of two particles $(\theta_1,\nu_1,\epsilon_1)$ and $(\theta_2,\nu_2,\epsilon_2)$ is given by the normalized two-particle form factor $f^\eta_{(\nu_1,\epsilon_1)(\nu_2,\epsilon_2)}(\theta_1,\theta_2)$, and the remaining single particle (θ,ν,ϵ), if any, gives a factor $f^\eta_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta)$; further, there is a minus sign for every crossing of contractions.*

III. *Form factors of $U(1)$ twist fields are analytic functions, except for possible "kinematic poles" at colliding rapidities, in the strip $\mathrm{Im}(\theta_j) \in (0,\pi)$ for $\eta\epsilon_j = +$ and $\mathrm{Im}(\theta_j) \in (-\pi,0)$ for $\eta\epsilon_j = -$. Leg-factors $h^\eta_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta)$, as functions of $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, are ordinary integrable functions obtained by continuous continuation from these analyticity regions, and they satisfy*

$$h^\eta_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta) h^\eta_{\nu,-\epsilon}(\theta) = \frac{1+e^{-W_\nu(\theta)}}{1+e^{-2\pi i\eta\nu\alpha} e^{-W_\nu(\theta)}} . \tag{4.29}$$

It is a simple matter to check that mixed-state form factors above do agree with (3.15) considering (4.5),(4.6), and with (3.16) using (2.9), (2.17), and complex conjugation.

Concerning the normalization $\langle \sigma^\eta_\alpha \rangle_\rho$, it has not been exactly calculated so far. In analogy to the computation of c_α in [41], we obtain a same recursion relation for the normalization:

$$\frac{\langle \sigma^\eta_{\alpha+1} \rangle_\rho}{\langle \sigma^\eta_\alpha \rangle_\rho} = \frac{\Gamma(-\alpha)}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)} m^{2\alpha+1} \tag{4.30}$$

which, in the pure-state limit, is in agreement with the result of [41] obtaind in the $U(1)$ Dirac model at zero temperature

$$\frac{\langle\sigma_{\alpha+1}\rangle}{\langle\sigma_\alpha\rangle} = \frac{\Gamma(-\alpha)}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)} m^{2\alpha+1}\,.$$

However, to fully determine the normalization $\langle\sigma_\alpha^\eta\rangle_\rho$, one needs to find the initial condition of this recursive relation which involves the function $W_\nu(\theta)$ indicating the mixed states. Once the normalization for $\alpha \in [0, 1/2]$ is known, it is known for $\alpha \in [-1/2, 1/2]$ by conjugation, and then known for all α thanks to this recursion relation. The derivation of (4.30) is presented in appendix B.

4.2.2 Non-linear functional differential system of equations

As we recall, $U(1)$ twist fields are in the form of normal-ordered exponential of bilinear combinations. For instance, the twist field σ_α^η is given by

$$\sigma_\alpha^\eta = \langle\sigma_\alpha\rangle \left(: \exp\left[\sum_{(\nu_1,\epsilon_1)(\nu_2,\epsilon_2)} \int d\theta_1 d\theta_2 F^\eta_{(\nu_1,\epsilon_1)(\nu_2,\epsilon_2)}(\theta_1,\theta_2) D^{\epsilon_1}_{\nu_1}(\theta_1) D^{\epsilon_2}_{\nu_2}(\theta_2) \right] : \right) \tag{4.31}$$

with $F^\eta_{(\nu_1,\epsilon_1)(\nu_2,\epsilon_2)}(\theta_1,\theta_2) = -\frac{1}{2} f^{(0)\eta}_{(\nu_1,-\epsilon_1)(\nu_2,-\epsilon_2)}(\theta_1,\theta_2)$. Thus, proposition 4.1 can be verified by mimicking arguments employed in [43]. We deduce, from the trace definition and Wick's theorem, a system of non-linear functional differential equations for $U(1)$ twist fields mixed-state form factors as functions of $W_\nu(\theta)$.

Derivation

We denote

$$\tilde{f}^\eta_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta) := Q^\rho_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta) \frac{\mathrm{Tr}\left(\rho \left(\delta_{\nu,-\epsilon}\,\sigma^\eta_{\alpha-1,\alpha} + \delta_{\nu,\epsilon}\,\sigma^\eta_{\alpha+1,\alpha}\right) D^\epsilon_\nu(\theta)\right)}{\mathrm{Tr}\left(\rho\sigma^\eta_\alpha\right)} \tag{4.32}$$

$$\tilde{f}^\eta_{(\nu_1,\epsilon_1)(\nu_2,\epsilon_2)}(\theta_1,\theta_2) := Q^\rho_{(\nu_1,\epsilon_1)(\nu_2,\epsilon_2)}(\theta_1,\theta_2) \frac{\mathrm{Tr}\left(\rho\sigma^\eta_\alpha D^{\epsilon_1}_{\nu_1}(\theta_1) D^{\epsilon_2}_{\nu_2}(\theta_2)\right)}{\mathrm{Tr}\left(\rho\sigma^\eta_\alpha\right)} \tag{4.33}$$

and the notations are similar for higher numbers of insertions of creation and annihilation operators. Using

$$\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial W_\nu(\theta)} = -\rho D^+_\nu(\theta) D_\nu(\theta)\,, \tag{4.34}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\partial}{\partial W_{\nu'}(\beta)}\frac{\mathrm{Tr}\left(\rho\left(\delta_{\nu,-\epsilon}\,\sigma^{\eta}_{\alpha-1,\alpha}+\delta_{\nu,\epsilon}\,\sigma^{\eta}_{\alpha+1,\alpha}\right)D^{\epsilon}_{\nu}(\theta)\right)}{\mathrm{Tr}\left(\rho\sigma^{\eta}_{\alpha}\right)}\\
&\quad=\quad -\frac{\mathrm{Tr}\left(\rho\left(\delta_{\nu,-\epsilon}\,\sigma^{\eta}_{\alpha-1,\alpha}+\delta_{\nu,\epsilon}\,\sigma^{\eta}_{\alpha+1,\alpha}\right)D^{\epsilon}_{\nu}(\theta)D^{+}_{\nu'}(\beta)D_{\nu'}(\beta)\right)}{\mathrm{Tr}\left(\rho\sigma^{\eta}_{\alpha}\right)}\\
&\qquad+\frac{\mathrm{Tr}\left(\rho\left(\delta_{\nu,-\epsilon}\,\sigma^{\eta}_{\alpha-1,\alpha}+\delta_{\nu,\epsilon}\,\sigma^{\eta}_{\alpha+1,\alpha}\right)D^{\epsilon}_{\nu}(\theta)\right)}{\mathrm{Tr}\left(\rho\sigma^{\eta}_{\alpha}\right)}\frac{\mathrm{Tr}\left(\rho\sigma^{\eta}_{\alpha}D^{+}_{\nu'}(\beta)D_{\nu'}(\beta)\right)}{\mathrm{Tr}\left(\rho\sigma^{\eta}_{\alpha}\right)}\\
&\quad=\quad \frac{\tilde{f}^{\eta}_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta)\tilde{f}^{\eta}_{(\nu',+)(\nu',-)}(\beta,\beta)-\tilde{f}^{\eta}_{(\nu,\epsilon)(\nu',+)(\nu',-)}(\theta,\beta,\beta)}{Q^{\rho}_{(\nu,\epsilon)(\nu',+)(\nu',-)}(\theta,\beta,\beta)}\\
&\quad=\quad \frac{\tilde{f}^{\eta}_{\nu',+}(\beta)\tilde{f}^{\eta}_{(\nu,\epsilon)(\nu',-)}(\theta,\beta)-\tilde{f}^{\eta}_{\nu',-}(\beta)\tilde{f}^{\eta}_{(\nu,\epsilon)(\nu',+)}(\theta,\beta)}{Q^{\rho}_{(\nu,\epsilon)(\nu',+)(\nu',-)}(\theta,\beta,\beta)}
\end{aligned}\tag{4.35}$$

where we use Wick's theorem in the last step. By recalling the definition (3.5), we find

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial W_{\nu'}(\beta)}+\frac{\epsilon\delta_{\nu,\nu'}\delta(\theta-\beta)}{Q^{\rho}_{\nu,-\epsilon}(\theta)}\right)\tilde{f}^{\eta}_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta)=\frac{\tilde{f}^{\eta}_{\nu',+}(\beta)\tilde{f}^{\eta}_{(\nu,\epsilon)(\nu',-)}(\theta,\beta)-\tilde{f}^{\eta}_{\nu',-}(\beta)\tilde{f}^{\eta}_{(\nu,\epsilon)(\nu',+)}(\theta,\beta)}{4\cosh^2\left(\frac{W_{\nu'}(\beta)}{2}\right)}.\tag{4.36}$$

We then differentiate

$$\frac{\mathrm{Tr}\left(\rho\sigma^{\eta}_{\alpha}D^{\epsilon_1}_{\nu_1}(\theta_1)D^{\epsilon_2}_{\nu_2}(\theta_2)\right)}{\mathrm{Tr}\left(\rho\sigma^{\eta}_{\alpha}\right)}$$

with respect to $W_{\nu'}(\beta)$, and we find, following the same lines,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial W_{\nu}(\beta)}+\frac{\epsilon_1\delta_{\nu,\nu_1}\delta(\beta-\theta_1)}{1+e^{\epsilon_1 W_{\nu_1}(\theta_1)}}+\frac{\epsilon_2\delta_{\nu,\nu_2}\delta(\beta-\theta_2)}{1+e^{\epsilon_2 W_{\nu_2}(\theta_2)}}\right)\tilde{f}^{\eta}_{(\nu_1,\epsilon_1)(\nu_2,\epsilon_2)}(\theta_1,\theta_2)\\
&\quad=\quad\frac{\tilde{f}^{\eta}_{(\nu_1,\epsilon_1)(\nu,+)}(\theta_1,\beta)\tilde{f}^{\eta}_{(\nu_2,\epsilon_2)(\nu,-)}(\theta_2,\beta)-\tilde{f}^{\eta}_{(\nu_1,\epsilon_1)(\nu,-)}(\theta_1,\beta)\tilde{f}^{\eta}_{(\nu_2,\epsilon_2)(\nu,+)}(\theta_2,\beta)}{4\cosh^2\left(\frac{W_{\nu'}(\beta)}{2}\right)}.
\end{aligned}\tag{4.37}$$

Finally, by using the relations

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{f}^{\eta}_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta)=f^{\eta}_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta),\\
&\tilde{f}^{\eta}_{(\nu_1,\epsilon_1)(\nu_2,\epsilon_2)}(\theta_1,\theta_2)=f^{\eta}_{(\nu_1,\epsilon_1)(\nu_2,\epsilon_2)}(\theta_1,\theta_2)+(1+e^{-\epsilon_1 W_{\nu_1}(\theta_1)})\delta_{\nu_1,\nu_2}\delta_{\epsilon_1,-\epsilon_2}\delta(\theta_1-\theta_2),
\end{aligned}$$

we obtain a system of functional differential equations for the mixed-state form factors of $U(1)$ twist fields:

$$\frac{\partial f^{\eta}_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta)}{\partial W_{\nu'}(\beta)}=\frac{f^{\eta}_{\nu',+}(\beta)f^{\eta}_{(\nu,\epsilon)(\nu',-)}(\theta,\beta)-f^{\eta}_{\nu',-}(\beta)f^{\eta}_{(\nu,\epsilon)(\nu',+)}(\theta,\beta)}{4\cosh^2\left(\frac{W_{\nu'}(\beta)}{2}\right)}\tag{4.38}$$

$$\frac{\partial f^{\eta}_{(\nu_1,\epsilon_1)(\nu_2,\epsilon_2)}(\theta_1,\theta_2)}{\partial W_{\nu}(\beta)}=\frac{f^{\eta}_{(\nu_1,\epsilon_1)(\nu,+)}(\theta_1,\beta)f^{\eta}_{(\nu_2,\epsilon_2)(\nu,-)}(\theta_2,\beta)-f^{\eta}_{(\nu_1,\epsilon_1)(\nu,-)}(\theta_1,\beta)f^{\eta}_{(\nu_2,\epsilon_2)(\nu,+)}(\theta_2,\beta)}{4\cosh^2\left(\frac{W_{\nu}(\beta)}{2}\right)}.\tag{4.39}$$

This system of functional differential equations enjoys the virtue that it does not require the analytic structure of $W_\nu(\theta)$ and it works for general $W_\nu(\theta)$.

Uniqueness

We can deduce, from the trace definition of mixed-state form factors, the large-$W_\nu(\theta)$ expansions of one-and two-particle mixed-state form factors

$$\begin{aligned} f^{\eta}_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta) &= f^{(0)\eta}_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta) + \sum_{\nu'} \int d\beta\, e^{-W_{\nu'}(\beta)} \Big[f^{(0)\eta}_{(\nu,\epsilon)(\nu',+)}(\theta,\beta) f^{(0)\eta}_{\nu',-}(\beta) \\ &\quad - f^{(0)\eta}_{(\nu,\epsilon)(\nu',-)}(\theta,\beta) f^{(0)\eta}_{\nu',+}(\beta) \Big] + \dots \end{aligned} \tag{4.40}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f^{\eta}_{(\nu_1,\epsilon_1)(\nu_2,\epsilon_2)}(\theta_1,\theta_2) &= f^{(0)\eta}_{(\nu_1,\epsilon_1)(\nu_2,\epsilon_2)}(\theta_1,\theta_2) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\nu} \int d\theta\, e^{-W_{\nu}(\theta)} \Big[f^{(0)\eta}_{(\nu_1,\epsilon_1)(\nu,-)}(\theta_1,\theta) f^{(0)\eta}_{(\nu_2,\epsilon_2)(\nu,+)}(\theta_2,\theta) \\ &\quad - f^{(0)\eta}_{(\nu_1,\epsilon_1)(\nu,+)}(\theta_1,\theta) f^{(0)\eta}_{(\nu_2,\epsilon_2)(\nu,-)}(\theta_2,\theta) \Big] + \dots . \end{aligned} \tag{4.41}$$

Then, the solutions to functional differential equations (4.38) and (4.39) can be uniquely fixed order by order, once the zeroth order has been fixed. It can be seen that mixed-state form factors (4.26) and (4.27) in the pure-state limit do reproduce the correct vacuum form factors. So it is sufficient to prove if they are the solution of functional differential equations (4.38) and (4.39).

Solution

Consider (4.38) first. On the left-hand side, we find

$$\frac{\eta\epsilon f^{+}_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta)}{4\pi i} \frac{1-e^{-2\pi i\eta\nu'\alpha}}{1+e^{-2\pi i\eta\nu'\alpha}e^{-W_{\nu'}(\beta)}} \frac{e^{\frac{\beta-\theta}{2}}}{1+e^{W_{\nu'}(\beta)}} \left(\frac{\delta_{-\nu,\nu'}}{\cosh(\frac{\theta-\beta}{2})} + \frac{\delta_{\nu,\nu'}}{\sinh(\frac{\theta-\beta}{2})} \right) \tag{4.42}$$

and on the right-hand side, using

$$\begin{aligned} f^{(0)\eta}_{-\nu,-\epsilon}(\beta) &= \epsilon i e^{(\nu\epsilon\alpha+1/2)(\beta-\theta)} e^{i\pi\eta\nu\alpha} f^{(0)}_{\nu,\epsilon}(\beta)\,, \\ h^{\eta}_{\nu',+}(\beta) h^{\eta}_{\nu',-}(\beta) &= \frac{1+e^{-W_{\nu'}(\beta)}}{1+e^{-2\pi i\eta\nu'\alpha}e^{-W_{\nu'}(\beta)}}\,, \end{aligned}$$

we find

$$\frac{\eta\epsilon f^{\omega}_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta)}{2\pi} \frac{\sin(\eta\nu'\alpha)e^{-i\pi\eta\nu'\alpha}}{1+e^{-2\pi i\eta\nu'\alpha}e^{-W_{\nu'}(\beta)}} \frac{e^{\frac{\beta-\theta}{2}}}{1+e^{W_{\nu'}(\beta)}} \left(\frac{\delta_{-\nu,\nu'}}{\cosh(\frac{\theta-\beta}{2})} + \frac{\delta_{\nu,\nu'}}{\sinh(\frac{\theta-\beta}{2})} \right). \tag{4.43}$$

Thanks to the relation

$$\sin x = \frac{e^{ix}-e^{-ix}}{2i}\,, \tag{4.44}$$

(4.42) and (4.43) are equal. Then, we consider (4.39). On the left-hand side, we find

$$\eta\nu_1\frac{\sin(\pi\alpha)}{8\pi^2}\kappa(1-e^{-2\pi i\eta\nu\alpha})\left[\delta_{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}\delta_{\nu_1,-\nu_2}\left(\frac{\delta_{\nu_1,\nu}}{\sinh\left(\frac{\theta_1-\beta}{2}\right)\cosh\left(\frac{\theta_2-\beta}{2}\right)}+\frac{\delta_{-\nu_1,\nu}}{\cosh\left(\frac{\theta_1-\beta}{2}\right)\sinh\left(\frac{\theta_2-\beta}{2}\right)}\right)\right.$$
$$\left.-i\epsilon_1 e^{-i\pi\eta\nu_1\alpha}\delta_{\epsilon_1,-\epsilon_2}\delta_{\nu_1,\nu_2}\left(\frac{\delta_{\nu_1,\nu}}{\sinh\left(\frac{\theta_1-\beta}{2}\right)\sinh\left(\frac{\theta_2-\beta}{2}\right)}+\frac{\delta_{-\nu_1,\nu}}{\cosh\left(\frac{\theta_1-\beta}{2}\right)\cosh\left(\frac{\theta_2-\beta}{2}\right)}\right)\right] \tag{4.45}$$

where

$$\kappa := \frac{e^{\epsilon_1\nu_1(\theta_1-\theta_2)\alpha}}{(1+e^{-2\pi i\eta\nu\alpha}e^{-W_\nu(\beta)})(1+e^{W_\nu(\beta)})}$$

and on the right-hand side, we find

$$\frac{\sin^2(\pi\alpha)}{-4\pi^2}\kappa\left[ie^{-i\pi\eta\nu\alpha}\delta_{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}\delta_{\nu_1,-\nu_2}\left(\frac{\delta_{\nu_1,\nu}}{\sinh\left(\frac{\theta_1-\beta}{2}\right)\cosh\left(\frac{\theta_2-\beta}{2}\right)}-\frac{\delta_{-\nu_1,\nu}}{\cosh\left(\frac{\theta_1-\beta}{2}\right)\sinh\left(\frac{\theta_2-\beta}{2}\right)}\right)\right.$$
$$\left.-\epsilon_1\delta_{\epsilon_1,-\epsilon_2}\delta_{\nu_1,\nu_2}\left(\frac{\delta_{\nu_1,\nu}e^{-2\pi i\eta\nu_1\alpha}}{\sinh\left(\frac{\theta_1-\beta}{2}\right)\sinh\left(\frac{\theta_2-\beta}{2}\right)}-\frac{\delta_{-\nu_1,\nu}}{\cosh\left(\frac{\theta_1-\beta}{2}\right)\cosh\left(\frac{\theta_2-\beta}{2}\right)}\right)\right]. \tag{4.46}$$

Taking into account the relation (4.44) again, (4.45) and (4.46) are equal. It is worth noting that this system of non-linear functional differential equations provides an alternative check of our proposed finite-temperature form factors of $U(1)$ twist fields (4.23) and (4.25).

4.2.3 General solution as integral-operator kernel

The non-linear functional differential equations (4.38) and (4.39) hold for any local field that can be expressed as normal-ordered exponential of bilinear forms in fermion operators. The exact results (4.26) and (4.27) are just the solution when form factors involved in the differential equations are specialized to those of $U(1)$ twist fields. It is not known that a general solution will also possess the leg-factor structure found. However, following the arguments in [43], we can obtain a general solution which is expressed in terms of integral-operator kernels.

We consider, without loss of generality, the primary twist field σ_α^η (4.31). For convenience, we define

$$S^\eta := \sum_{(\nu_1,\epsilon_1)(\nu_2,\epsilon_2)}\int d\theta_1 d\theta_2 F^\eta_{(\nu_1,\epsilon_1)(\nu_2,\epsilon_2)}(\theta_1,\theta_2)D^{\epsilon_1}_{\nu_1}(\theta_1)D^{\epsilon_2}_{\nu_2}(\theta_2) \tag{4.47}$$

and it follows that

$$\sigma_\alpha^\eta = \langle\sigma_\alpha\rangle\left(:e^{S^\eta}:\right). \tag{4.48}$$

Before we continue, let us introduce a dressing operator $\mathbf{U}$ in the Liouville space, which is first employed in finite-temperature free Majorana theory [12, 15] and then generalized to mixed states [43]. This operator suggests a particular way of evaluating mixed-state form factors via linear combinations of their pure-state limits [12, 15, 43]. In the Dirac theory, we can also define the dressing operator $\mathbf{U}$:

$$\mathbf{U} = \exp\left[\sum_\nu\int d\theta\,\frac{\mathbf{Z}_{\nu,-}(\theta)\mathbf{Z}_{\nu,+}(\theta)}{1+e^{W_\nu(\theta)}}\right]. \tag{4.49}$$

In [12, 15, 43], a normal-ordering operation $\circ\!\!\circ \cdot \circ\!\!\circ$ in the Liouville space is introduced and this operation brings all Liouville creation operators to the left of Liouville annihilation operators. With this normal-ordering operation, for any usual normal-ordered field $\mathcal{O}$, we have

$$\mathcal{O}^\ell|\text{vac}\rangle^\rho = \mathbf{U}\circ\!\!\circ\mathcal{O}^\ell\circ\!\!\circ|\text{vac}\rangle^\rho\,. \tag{4.50}$$

See appendix A for the proof of relation (4.50).

Now, we focus on normalized mixed-state two-particle form factors, for instance,

$$\overset{\rho}{{}_{(\nu_1,\epsilon_1)(\nu_2,\epsilon_2)}}\langle\theta_1,\theta_2|\left(:e^{S^\eta}:\right)^\ell|\text{vac}\rangle^\rho\,.$$

Using (4.50), the definition (3.8), the property of Liouville normal-ordering and the fact that $\mathbf{U}|\text{vac}\rangle^\rho = |\text{vac}\rangle^\rho$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\overset{\rho}{{}_{(\nu_1,\epsilon_1)(\nu_2,\epsilon_2)}}\langle\theta_1,\theta_2|\left(:e^{S^\eta}:\right)^\ell|\text{vac}\rangle^\rho &= \overset{\rho}{{}_{(\nu_1,\epsilon_1)(\nu_2,\epsilon_2)}}\langle\theta_1,\theta_2|\mathbf{U}\left(\circ\!\!\circ e^{S^\eta}\circ\!\!\circ\right)^\ell|\text{vac}\rangle^\rho\\ &\overset{\rho}{{}_{(\nu_1,\epsilon_1)(\nu_2,\epsilon_2)}}\langle\theta_1,\theta_2|\mathbf{U}e^{\tilde{S}^\eta}|\text{vac}\rangle^\rho\\ &\overset{\rho}{{}_{(\nu_1,\epsilon_1)(\nu_2,\epsilon_2)}}\langle\theta_1,\theta_2|e^{\mathbf{U}\tilde{S}^\eta\mathbf{U}^{-1}}|\text{vac}\rangle^\rho\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tilde{S}^\eta = \sum_{(\nu_1,\epsilon_1)(\nu_2,\epsilon_2)}\int d\theta_1 d\theta_2 \frac{F^\eta_{(\nu_1,\epsilon_1)(\nu_2,\epsilon_2)}(\theta_1,\theta_2)}{Q^\rho_{\nu_1,-\epsilon_1}(\theta_2)Q^\rho_{\nu_2,-\epsilon_2}(\theta_2)}\mathbf{Z}^\dagger_{\nu_1,\epsilon_1}(\theta_1)\mathbf{Z}^\dagger_{\nu_2,\epsilon_2}(\theta_2)\,. \tag{4.51}$$

Thanks to (A.3), we then have

$$\overset{\rho}{{}_{(\nu_1,\epsilon_1)(\nu_2,\epsilon_2)}}\langle\theta_1,\theta_2|\left(:e^{S^\eta}:\right)^\ell|\text{vac}\rangle^\rho = \overset{\rho}{{}_{(\nu_1,\epsilon_1)(\nu_2,\epsilon_2)}}\langle\theta_1,\theta_2|e^{G^\eta}|\text{vac}\rangle^\rho \tag{4.52}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}G^\eta &= \sum_{(\nu_1,\epsilon_1)(\nu_2,\epsilon_2)}\int d\theta_1 d\theta_2\, F^\eta_{(\nu_1,\epsilon_1)(\nu_2,\epsilon_2)}(\theta_1,\theta_2)\\ &\quad\times\left(\frac{\mathbf{Z}^\dagger_{\nu_1,\epsilon_1}(\theta_1)}{Q^\rho_{\nu_1,-\epsilon_1}(\theta_1)}+\frac{\epsilon_1\mathbf{Z}_{\nu_1,-\epsilon_1}(\theta_1)}{Q^\rho_{\nu_1,+}(\theta_1)}\right)\left(\frac{\mathbf{Z}^\dagger_{\nu_2,\epsilon_2}(\theta_2)}{Q^\rho_{\nu_2,-\epsilon_2}(\theta_2)}+\frac{\epsilon_2\mathbf{Z}_{\nu_2,-\epsilon_2}(\theta_2)}{Q^\rho_{\nu_2,+}(\theta_2)}\right)\,.\end{aligned} \tag{4.53}$$

Now, our problem has been reduced to a question of computing the matrix element of a pure exponential on the right-hand side of (4.52), which can be performed using Bogoliubov transformation, similarly to [43].

We construct a basis $b_j, b_j^\dagger, c_j, c_j^\dagger$ with discrete indices $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, which satisfies canonical anti-commutation relations

$$\{b_j, b_k^\dagger\} = \{c_j, c_k^\dagger\} = \delta_{jk} \tag{4.54}$$

with other anti-commutators being zero. We define the vacuum as $|0\rangle$ and the column vector V as

$$V = \left(b_1,\ldots,c_1;b_1^\dagger,\ldots,c_1^\dagger\right)^T,\quad V^\dagger = \left(b_1^\dagger,\ldots,c_1^\dagger;b_1,\ldots,c_1\right).$$

Concerning the matrix element (4.52), we write

$$\langle 0|VV^\dagger e^{V^\dagger JV}|0\rangle = \lim_{\beta\to\infty} \mathrm{Tr}(e^{-\beta N} VV^\dagger e^{V^\dagger JV}) \,, \quad N = \frac{1}{2} V^\dagger \sigma_z V \tag{4.55}$$

where σ_z is pauli matrix, J is a general 2-block by 2-block matrix and β is a real parameter. When evaluating the trace in (4.55), we can move V along one cycle by using cyclic property of the trace and commutation relations

$$[N, V] = -\sigma_z V, \quad [V^\dagger JV, V] = MV, \quad M = \sigma_x J^T \sigma_x - J, \quad \{V, V^\dagger\} = I \tag{4.56}$$

where σ_x is pauli matrix and I represents the identity matrix. Then we get the relation

$$\left(1 + e^\beta \sigma_z e^M\right) \mathrm{Tr}\left(e^{-\beta N} VV^\dagger e^{V^\dagger JV}\right) = e^\beta \sigma_z e^M \mathrm{Tr}\left(e^{-\beta N} e^{V^\dagger JV}\right) . \tag{4.57}$$

Take the limit $\beta \to \infty$ and consider only the divergent terms proportional to e^β. We have

$$\begin{pmatrix} (e^M)_{11} & (e^M)_{12} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \langle 0| \begin{pmatrix} (VV^\dagger)_{11} & (VV^\dagger)_{12} \\ (VV^\dagger)_{21} & (VV^\dagger)_{22} \end{pmatrix} e^{V^\dagger JV}|0\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} (e^M)_{11} & (e^M)_{12} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \langle 0|e^{V^\dagger JV}|0\rangle \,.$$

Using rules of matrix product and $\langle 0|(VV^\dagger)_{22} e^{V^\dagger JV}|0\rangle = 0$, we find

$$\frac{\langle 0|(VV^\dagger)_{12} e^{V^\dagger JV}|0\rangle}{\langle 0|e^{V^\dagger JV}|0\rangle} = \left((e^M)_{11}\right)^{-1} (e^M)_{12} \tag{4.58}$$

which we are interested in for later computation.

Now, we can apply these techniques to our two-particle form factor problem. It is worth noting that these techniques are only valid for Fock space based on canonical anti-commutation algebra. So we have to define new Liouville mode operators

$$\mathbf{b}^\dagger_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta) = \frac{\sqrt{Q^\rho_{\nu,+}(\theta)}}{Q^\rho_{\nu,-\epsilon}(\theta)} \mathbf{Z}^\dagger_{\nu\epsilon}(\theta) \,, \quad \mathbf{b}_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{Q^\rho_{\nu,+}(\theta)}} \mathbf{Z}_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta) \tag{4.59}$$

so that they satisfy anti-commutation relation

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathbf{b}_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta), \mathbf{b}^\dagger_{\nu',\epsilon'}(\theta')\} &= \delta_{\nu,\nu'}\delta_{\epsilon,\epsilon'}\delta(\theta-\theta') \,, \\ \{\mathbf{b}_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta), \mathbf{b}_{\nu',\epsilon'}(\theta')\} &= \{\mathbf{b}^\dagger_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta), \mathbf{b}^\dagger_{\nu',\epsilon'}(\theta')\} = 0 \,. \end{aligned}$$

We then see

$$\begin{aligned} G^\eta &= \sum_{(\nu_1,\epsilon_1)(\nu_2,\epsilon_2)} \int \frac{d\theta_1 d\theta_2}{\sqrt{Q^\rho_{\nu_1,+}(\theta_1) Q^\rho_{\nu_2,+}(\theta_2)}} F^\eta_{(\nu_1,\epsilon_1)(\nu_2,\epsilon_2)}(\theta_1,\theta_2) \\ &\quad \times \left(\mathbf{b}^\dagger_{\nu_1,\epsilon_1}(\theta_1) + \epsilon_1 \mathbf{b}_{\nu_1,-\epsilon_1}(\theta_1)\right) \left(\mathbf{b}^\dagger_{\nu_2,\epsilon_2}(\theta_2) + \epsilon_2 \mathbf{b}_{\nu_2,-\epsilon_2}(\theta_2)\right) . \end{aligned} \tag{4.60}$$

Identifying $G^\eta = V^\dagger JV$, and considering the index (ν,ϵ), we can write down matrix M in the 8 by 8 form

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} x_+ & 0 & 0 & -y & 0 & -x_+ & -y & 0 \\ 0 & x_+^t & h & 0 & x_+^t & 0 & 0 & -h \\ 0 & y^t & x_- & 0 & y^t & 0 & 0 & -x_b \\ -h^t & 0 & 0 & x_-^t & 0 & h^t & x_-^t & 0 \\ 0 & -x_+^t & -h & 0 & -x_+^t & 0 & 0 & h \\ x_+ & 0 & 0 & -y & 0 & -x_+ & y & 0 \\ h^t & 0 & 0 & -x_-^t & 0 & -h^t & -x_-^t & 0 \\ 0 & y^t & x_- & 0 & y^t & 0 & 0 & -x_- \end{pmatrix} \tag{4.61}$$

where the integral operators x_+, x_-, y and z have kernels

$$\begin{aligned} x_+(\theta_1,\theta_2) &= \frac{2F^\eta_{(+,+)(+,-)}(\theta_1,\theta_2)}{\sqrt{Q^\rho_{+,+}(\theta_1)Q^\rho_{+,+}(\theta_2)}} \\ x_-(\theta_1,\theta_2) &= \frac{2F^\eta_{(-,+)(-,-)}(\theta_1,\theta_2)}{\sqrt{Q^\rho_{-,+}(\theta_1)Q^\rho_{-,+}(\theta_2)}} \\ y(\theta_1,\theta_2) &= \frac{2F^\eta_{(+,+)(-,+)}(\theta_1,\theta_2)}{\sqrt{Q^\rho_{+,+}(\theta_1)Q^\rho_{-,+}(\theta_2)}} \\ h(\theta_1,\theta_2) &= \frac{2F^\eta_{(+,-)(-,-)}(\theta_1,\theta_2)}{\sqrt{Q^\rho_{+,+}(\theta_1)Q^\rho_{-,+}(\theta_2)}}\,. \end{aligned}$$

and t represents matrix transpose. Thanks to the notion that M is nilpotent, namely $M^2 = 0$, we can express its exponential simply as

$$e^M = 1 + M\,.$$

Direct calculations show that

$$R := \left((e^M)_{11}\right)^{-1}(e^M)_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & g & k & 0 \\ -g^t & 0 & 0 & l \\ -k^t & 0 & 0 & m \\ 0 & -l^t & -m^t & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{4.62}$$

where

$$g = \left[x_+ + I - y\left(x_-^t + I\right)^{-1} h^t\right]^{-1} - I\,, \quad k = \left[h^t - \left(x_-^t + I\right) y^{-1}(x_+ + I)\right]^{-1} \tag{4.63}$$

$$m = \left[x_- + I - y^t\left(x_+^t + I\right)^{-1} h\right]^{-1} - I\,, \quad l = \left[y^t - (x_- + I)\, h^{-1}(x_+^t + I)\right]^{-1}\,. \tag{4.64}$$

We are thus led to conclude that two-particle form factors can be given via the kernel of integral-operator R,

$$\overset{\rho}{}_{(\nu_1,\epsilon_1)(\nu_2,\epsilon_2)}\langle\theta_1,\theta_2|\left(:e^{S^\eta}:\right)^\ell|\text{vac}\rangle^\rho=\sqrt{Q^\rho_{\nu_1,+}(\theta_1)Q^\rho_{\nu_2,+}(\theta_2)}\,R_{(\nu_1,\epsilon_1)(\nu_2,\epsilon_2)}(\theta_1,\theta_2) \tag{4.65}$$

where $(+,+)$, $(+,-)$ are on the first two rows/columns and $(-,+)$, $(-,-)$ are on the second.

4.3 Mixed-state two-point correlation functions of twist fields

In the Ising model, a correlation function of twist fields comes from the scaling limit of the correlation function of corresponding spin operators in the quantum Ising chain (see for instance [49]). The choice of a direction for the branch cut must be kept the same for each twist fields inside the correlation function, due to the Jordan-Wigner transformation [50, 51, 52] in which the Pauli spin matrices are written as infinite products of fermion operators starting at the matrix's site and going in a fixed direction. Since the Dirac theory can be seen as a doubled Ising model, we consider again mixed-state two-point correlation functions of $U(1)$ twist fields with branch cuts going towards the same direction (towards the right):

$$\langle\sigma^+_\alpha(x,t)\sigma^+_{\alpha'}(0,0)\rangle_\rho\quad\text{and}\quad\langle\sigma^+_{\alpha\pm1,\alpha}(x,t)\sigma^+_{\alpha'\mp1,\alpha'}(0,0)\rangle_\rho\,.$$

With mixed-state form factors at hand, we can obtain a series of expression for mixed-state two-point correlation functions of local fields $\mathcal{O}_1$ and $\mathcal{O}_2$, using the resolution of the identity (3.13)

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle\mathcal{O}_1(x,t)\mathcal{O}_2(0,0)\rangle_\rho\\ =\;&\sum_{N=0}^\infty\sum_{\nu_1,\dots,\nu_N}\sum_{\epsilon_1,\dots,\epsilon_N}\int\frac{d\theta_1\cdots d\theta_N}{N!}\left[\frac{e^{\sum_{j=1}^N\left(i\epsilon_j p_{\theta_j}x-i\epsilon_j E_{\theta_j}t\right)}}{\prod_{j=1}^N\left(1+e^{-\epsilon_j W_{\nu_j}(\theta_j)}\right)}\right.\\ &\left.f^{\rho;\mathcal{O}_1}_{(\nu_1,\epsilon_1)\dots(\nu_N,\epsilon_N)}(\theta_1,\dots,\theta_N)f^{\rho;\mathcal{O}_2}_{(\nu_N,-\epsilon_N)\dots(\nu_1,-\epsilon_1)}(\theta_N,\dots,\theta_1)\right]\,.\end{aligned} \tag{4.66}$$

This expression is expected to hold for any non-interacting field $\mathcal{O}$ whose form factors are zero for large enough numbers of particles so that the above series truncates.

However, some analysis of the integrals in (4.66) needs to be performed. If the value of $W_{\nu_j}(\theta_j)$ increases as $|\theta_j|\to\infty$, then the integral over θ_j is convergent for $\epsilon_j=-$. However, the integral over θ_j is in general not convergent for $\epsilon_j=+$. In analogy with the standard $i0^+$ prescription for correlation functions in the context of QFT, assuming $W_{\nu_j}(\theta_j)$ grows like, or faster than $e^{\alpha\cosh\theta_j}$ for some $\alpha>0$ as $|\theta_j|\to\infty$, we can make both cases $\epsilon_j=\pm$ convergent by replacing t with $t-i0^+$. With this prescription, the correlation function is seen as the boundary value, at $t\in\mathbb{R}$, of a function of t analytic on some neighborhood of $\mathbb{R}$ in the region $\text{Im}(t)<0$.

In fact, we can make this boundary value finite at space-like distances ($x^2>t^2$) for any $W_{\nu_j}(\theta_j)$ as long as $W_{\nu_j}(\theta_j)$ is analytic on neighborhoods of (K,∞) and $(-\infty,-K)$, for $K>0$ large enough. To see this, assuming without loss of generality that $x>0$, we shift the contours as $\theta_j\mapsto\theta_j+\epsilon_j i0^+$ in the region $|\text{Re}(\theta_j)|>K$, so that θ_j remains in the analyticity region of $W_{\nu_j}(\theta_j)$. It turns out that this boundary value with integrals on the shifted contours is indeed finite at space-like distances ($x^2>t^2$).

For twist fields, the form factor expansion is infinite, as these fields have non-zero form factors for arbitrary large numbers of particles. However, the resulting infinite series (4.66) is not the correct representation for mixed-state two-point functions of twist fields. The form factor expansion needs to be modified in various ways, because of the branch cuts emanating from twist fields as expressed in the twist condition. We present the arguments for these modifications and then propose the form factor expansions for two-point functions of $U(1)$ twist fields in mixed states. From now on, we take without loss of generality $x > 0$ and only consider the space-like region $x^2 > t^2$.

4.3.1 Three modifications

First, if the general expansion $\sum_s {}^\rho\langle \mathrm{vac}|\mathcal{O}_1(x,t)^\ell|s\rangle^\rho\, {}^\rho\langle s|\mathcal{O}_2(0,0)^\ell|\mathrm{vac}\rangle^\rho$ is a large distance expansion, then $|s\rangle^\rho\, {}^\rho\langle s|$ should be interpreted as intermediate states over the region between the fields $\mathcal{O}_1(x,t)^\ell$ and $\mathcal{O}_2(0,0)^\ell$, and the vacuum states ${}^\rho\langle \mathrm{vac}|$ and $|\mathrm{vac}\rangle^\rho$ should represent what is happening on the far right and left respectively. It has been argued in [12, 15], via the comparison between finite-temperature form factors and form factors on the circle, that the intermediate states must lie in a region which is not affected by the branch cuts of twist fields. This means that we have to obtain form factor expansions where no cut is present in the region between 0 and x. Fortunately, the unitary operator Z can help us achieve this:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\sigma^+_\alpha(x,t)\sigma^+_{\alpha'}(0,0)\rangle_\rho &= \langle\sigma^+_\alpha(x,t)\sigma^-_{\alpha'}(0,0)\rangle_{\rho^\sharp}\\ \langle\sigma^+_{\alpha\pm1,\alpha}(x,t)\sigma^+_{\alpha'\mp1,\alpha'}(0,0)\rangle_\rho &= \langle\sigma^+_{\alpha\pm1,\alpha}(x,t)\sigma^-_{\alpha'\mp1,\alpha'}(0,0)\rangle_{\rho^\sharp}\end{aligned} \tag{4.67}$$

where we relate $\sigma^+_{\alpha'}$ and $\sigma^+_{\alpha'\mp1,\alpha'}$ to $\sigma^-_{\alpha'}$ and $\sigma^-_{\alpha'\mp1,\alpha'}$ via operator Z respectively, and we get the twisted density matrix $\rho^\sharp := Z^{-1}\rho$ with $W^\sharp_\pm(\theta) = W_\pm(\theta) \pm 2\pi i\alpha'$. Here, two-point functions

$$\langle\sigma^+_{\alpha\pm1,\alpha}(x,t)\sigma^+_{\alpha'\pm1,\alpha'}(0,0)\rangle_\rho$$

are not considered, as they are all zero, due to the fact that twist fields $\sigma^\eta_{\alpha+1,\alpha}$ have non-zero one-particle form factors $f^{\rho;\sigma^\eta_{\alpha+1,\alpha}}_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta)$ only for $\nu = \epsilon$ while twist fields $\sigma^\eta_{\alpha-1,\alpha}$ have non-zero one-particle form factors $f^{\rho;\sigma^\eta_{\alpha-1,\alpha}}_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta)$ only for $\nu = -\epsilon$.

Second, the insertion of a twist field inside mixed-state correlation functions or traces will affect one of the vacuum sectors in the correspondence to vacuum expectation values in the quantization on the circle and hence gives rise to the free energy difference between the sector where a cut lies and the one where no cut lies. This property can be expressed via

$${}^\rho\langle\mathrm{vac}|\omega^\eta(x,t)^\ell|\theta_1,\dots,\theta_N\rangle^\rho_{(\nu_1,\epsilon_1)\dots(\nu_N,\epsilon_N)} = e^{\eta x\mathcal{E}}e^{\sum_{j=1}^N\left(i\epsilon_j p_{\theta_j}x - i\epsilon_j E_{\theta_j}t\right)}f^{\rho;\omega^\eta}_{(\nu_1,\epsilon_1)\dots(\nu_N,\epsilon_N)}(\theta_1,\dots,\theta_N) \tag{4.68}$$

for both twist fields $\omega = \sigma_\alpha$ and $\omega = \sigma_{\alpha\pm1,\alpha}$, with $\mathcal{E}$ the free energy deficit:

$$\mathcal{E} = \sum_{\nu=\pm}\int\frac{d\theta}{2\pi}m\cosh\theta\log\left(\frac{1+e^{-W_\nu(\theta)}}{1+e^{-2\pi i\nu\alpha}e^{-W_\nu(\theta)}}\right). \tag{4.69}$$

We denote by $\mathcal{E}^\sharp$ the free energy deficit associated to $W_\nu^\sharp$ and we have

$$\mathcal{E}^\sharp = -\mathcal{E}\,. \tag{4.70}$$

Finally, mixed-state form factors of twist fields are not entire functions of the rapidities but distributions defined as boundary values of analytic functions with no colliding rapidities. We have to shift the contour towards the analytic region for the purpose of obtaining a well-defined form factor expansion. Therefore, we need to further require that $W_\nu(\theta)$ be analytic on a neighborhood of $\mathbb{R}$.

4.3.2 Two-point correlation functions

According to the modifications stipulated above, we propose the followings:

Proposition 4.2 *With $W_\nu(\theta)$ analytic on a neighborhood of $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle \sigma_\alpha^+(x,t)\sigma_{\alpha'}^+(0,0)\rangle_\rho \qquad (4.71)\\
&= e^{-x\mathcal{E}} \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\nu_1,\dots,\nu_N} \sum_{\epsilon_1,\dots,\epsilon_N} \int \frac{d\theta_1\cdots d\theta_N}{N!} \left[\frac{e^{\sum_{j=1}^N \left(i\epsilon_j p_{\theta_j} x - i\epsilon_j E_{\theta_j} t\right)}}{\prod_{j=1}^N \left(1+e^{-\epsilon_j\nu_j 2\pi i\alpha} e^{-\epsilon_j W_{\nu_j}(\theta_j)}\right)} \right.\\
&\left. f^{\rho^\sharp;\sigma_\alpha^+}_{(\nu_1,\epsilon_1)\dots(\nu_N,\epsilon_N)}(\theta_1,\dots,\theta_N) f^{\rho^\sharp;\sigma_{\alpha'}^-}_{(\nu_N,-\epsilon_N)\dots(\nu_1,-\epsilon_1)}(\theta_N,\dots,\theta_1) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle \sigma_{\alpha\pm1,\alpha}^+(x,t)\sigma_{\alpha'\mp1,\alpha'}^+(0,0)\rangle_\rho \qquad (4.72)\\
&= e^{-x\mathcal{E}} \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\nu_1,\dots,\nu_N} \sum_{\epsilon_1,\dots,\epsilon_N} \int \frac{d\theta_1\cdots d\theta_N}{N!} \left[\frac{e^{\sum_{j=1}^N \left(i\epsilon_j p_{\theta_j} x - i\epsilon_j E_{\theta_j} t\right)}}{\prod_{j=1}^N \left(1+e^{-\epsilon_j\nu_j 2\pi i\alpha} e^{-\epsilon_j W_{\nu_j}(\theta_j)}\right)} \right.\\
&\left. f^{\rho^\sharp;\sigma_{\alpha\pm1,\alpha}^+}_{(\nu_1,\epsilon_1)\dots(\nu_N,\epsilon_N)}(\theta_1,\dots,\theta_N) f^{\rho^\sharp;\sigma_{\alpha'\mp1,\alpha'}^-}_{(\nu_N,-\epsilon_N)\dots(\nu_1,-\epsilon_1)}(\theta_N,\dots,\theta_1) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Form factor expansions (4.71) and (4.72) are infinite since twist fields have non-zero mixed-state form factors for arbitrarily large number of particles. In order to have convergent integrals in our expansions, we shift the θ_j contours in (4.71) and (4.72) by $i\epsilon_j\zeta$ for $\zeta > 0$ small enough in such a way that θ_j remains in the analyticity region of $W_\nu(\theta_j)$ and of the form factors involved.

The large distance leading behaviour of the two-point functions (4.71) and (4.72) are determined by singularities of the function $\left(1+e^{-\epsilon\nu 2\pi i\alpha}e^{-\epsilon W_\nu(\theta)}\right)^{-1}$. Among these singularities, we denote by $\theta^\star$ the one with the smallest value of $|\mathrm{Im}(\sinh\theta)|$. we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \sigma_\alpha^+(x,t)\sigma_{\alpha'}^+(0,0)\rangle_\rho &= \langle\sigma_\alpha^+\rangle_{\rho^\sharp}\langle\sigma_{\alpha'}^-\rangle_{\rho^\sharp} e^{-x\mathcal{E}} \left(1+O\left(e^{-mx|\mathrm{Im}(\sinh\theta_1^\star)|-mx|\mathrm{Im}(\sinh\theta_2^\star)|}\right)\right)\\
\langle \sigma_{\alpha\pm1,\alpha}^+(x,t)\sigma_{\alpha'\mp1,\alpha'}^+(0,0)\rangle_\rho &= O\left(e^{-x\mathcal{E}-mx|\mathrm{Im}(\sinh\theta^\star)|}\right) \qquad (4.73)
\end{aligned}$$

where exponential decays include possible algebraic or other non-exponential factors in mx determined by the type of singularities.

5 Application: Rényi entropy for integer n in the Ising model

The Rényi entropy can be used to evaluate the bipartite entanglement entropy which is a measure of quantum entanglement [53]. For the definition of the entanglement entropy, consider a composite quantum system with Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$ in a ground state $|\text{gs}\rangle$. The entanglement entropy S_A is the von Neumann entropy associated to the reduced density matrix ρ_A of the subsystem A:

$$S_A = -\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_A}\left(\rho_A \log(\rho_A)\right), \quad \rho_A = \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_B}\left(|\text{gs}\rangle\langle\text{gs}|\right) . \tag{5.1}$$

Using the "replica trick" [54, 55], the bipartite entanglement entropy can be obtained as the limit $n \to 1$ of the Rényi entropy for positive real n:

$$S_A = \lim_{n\to 1} S_A^{(n)}, \quad S_A^{(n)} = \frac{1}{1-n}\log \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_A}\rho_A^n . \tag{5.2}$$

It has been demonstrated in [54] that the Rényi entropy for integer n is related to the partition function on a multi-sheeted Riemann surface with branch points. The authors in [56, 57] introduced the so-called branch-point twist fields which correspond to branch points so that their correlation functions are the partition functions on multi-sheeted Riemann surfaces. Therefore, the problem of calculating the biparticle entanglement entropy is reduced to the evaluation of the two-point correlation function of the branch-point twist fields. In [57], the two-point correlation function of the branch-point twist fields were computed by exploiting the factorized scattering method for integrable models of QFT.

In this section, we consider the composite system mentioned above in mixed states in the Ising model. In this case, the Rényi entropy for integer n is related with the mixed-state two-point correlation function of the branch-point twist fields in the n-copy Ising model. Thanks to the fact that the branch-point twist fields in the n-copy Ising model, for even values of n, have explicit representations in terms of the n independent $U(1)$ twist fields in the n-copy free massive Dirac theory (see Appendix B of [57]), our result (4.71) can be applied directly to the evaluation of the mixed-state two-point function of the branch-point twist fields in the n-copy Ising model. Taking analytic continuation in n of the Rényi entropy and computing the result at $n = 1$ could in principle give the mixed-state bipartite entanglement entropy. However, this is beyond the scope of this paper.

5.1 Branch-point twist fields in the n-copy Ising model

The branch-point twist fields originally arose in the computation of the leading large-distance correction to the bipartite ground-state entanglement entropy in the context of massive integrable quantum field theories with diagonal scattering matrices [57]. Let us commence with a brief review of the branch point twist fields in the Ising model. Consider a model which is formed by n independent copies of the Ising model. Particles on different copies do not interact. The lagrangian density of this n-copy Ising model is the sum of the langrangian density of every copy and it can be written as

$$\mathcal{L}^{(n)}[\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_n](x) = \mathcal{L}[\psi_1](x) + \cdots + \mathcal{L}[\psi_n](x) \tag{5.3}$$

where ψ_i is the free Majorana field on the i^{th} copy of the model. It is obvious that this model possesses a $\mathbb{Z}_n$ symmetry under cyclic exchange of the copies:

$$\mathcal{L}^{(n)}[g\psi_1, \ldots, g\psi_n](x) = \mathcal{L}^{(n)}[\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_n](x) \tag{5.4}$$

where g is the transformation that permutes the copy numbers cyclically:

$$g\psi_i = \psi_{i+1} \tag{5.5}$$

with $i = 1, \cdots, n, n+1 \equiv 1$. The branch-point twist field $\mathcal{T}$ is the twist field associated to the symmetry g. Denoting by $\mathcal{T}^+$ and $\mathcal{T}^-$ the twist fields with branch cuts on the right and on the left, respectively, their equal-time exchange relations with respect to the free Majorana fields are:

$$\psi_i(x)\mathcal{T}^\eta(0) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{T}^\eta(0)\left(\delta_{\eta+}\psi_i(x) + \delta_{\eta-}\psi_{i+1}(x)\right) & (x < 0) \\ \mathcal{T}^\eta(0)\left(\delta_{\eta-}\psi_i(x) + \delta_{\eta+}\psi_{i+1}(x)\right) & (x > 0) \end{cases} \tag{5.6}$$

with $\eta = \pm$. In addition, we can define another branch-point twist field $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ which is associated to the symmetry g^{-1} under the opposite cyclic exchange $g^{-1}\psi_i = \psi_{i-1}$ with $i - n = i$. Similarly, we denote by $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}^+$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}^-$ the twist fields with branch cuts on the right and on the left, respectively. They also have the equal-time exchange relations with respect to the free Majorana fields:

$$\psi_i(x)\tilde{\mathcal{T}}^\eta(0) = \begin{cases} \tilde{\mathcal{T}}^\eta(0)\left(\delta_{\eta+}\psi_i(x) + \delta_{\eta-}\psi_{i-1}(x)\right) & (x < 0) \\ \tilde{\mathcal{T}}^\eta(0)\left(\delta_{\eta-}\psi_i(x) + \delta_{\eta+}\psi_{i-1}(x)\right) & (x > 0) \end{cases} \tag{5.7}$$

with the identification $i - n = i$. It is implied from (5.6) and (5.7) that the branch-point twist field $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}^\eta$ is the Hermitian conjugate of the branch-point twist field $\mathcal{T}^\eta$:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{T}}^\eta = (\mathcal{T}^\eta)^\dagger \,. \tag{5.8}$$

These twist fields are spinless and they are primary fields with the lowest possible scaling dimension (they have the same scaling dimension) [56]:

$$d_n = \frac{1}{24}(n - \frac{1}{n}) \,. \tag{5.9}$$

The branch-point twist fields $\mathcal{T}^\eta$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}^\eta$, defined by (5.6) and (5.7) respectively, can be uniquely fixed by the requirement that they have the lowest scaling dimension given by (5.9) and they are invariant under all symmetries of the n-copy Ising model which commute with g.

5.2 Explicit representation of the branch-point twist fields in the n-copy Ising model in terms of the $U(1)$ twist fields in the n-copy Dirac theory

As we mentioned above, there exists a relation between the branch-point twist fields in the n-copy Ising model and the $U(1)$ twist fields in the n-copy Dirac theory. To see this relation, we construct an n-copy free Dirac fermion model by doubling the n-copy Ising model. We denote the fundamental real Majorana fermion fields for each n-copy Ising model by $\psi_{a,j}, \bar{\psi}_{a,j}$ and $\psi_{b,j}, \bar{\psi}_{b,j}$ for $j = 1, \cdots, n$, respectively, and the fundamental Dirac spinor fermion field by

$$\Psi_j = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_{R,j} \\ \Psi_{L,j} \end{pmatrix} .$$

Then we have the identification:

$$\Psi_{R,j} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\psi_{a,j} + i\psi_{b,j}), \qquad \Psi_{L,j} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\bar{\psi}_{a,j} - i\bar{\psi}_{b,j})\,. \tag{5.10}$$

In order for different copies of the Dirac fermions to anti-commute with each other, we define a new basis

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Psi_1^{\rm ac} \\ \vdots \\ \Psi_n^{\rm ac} \end{pmatrix} \tag{5.11}$$

with scattering matrix -1 among different copies. Accordingly, the branch-point twist field in the n-copy Dirac theory, which we denote by $\mathcal{T}^{\eta}_{\rm Dirac}$, has modified exchange relations with respect to the Dirac fermions:

$$\Psi_j^{\rm ac}(x)\mathcal{T}^{+}_{\rm Dirac}(0) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{T}^{+}_{\rm Dirac}(0)\Psi_j^{\rm ac}(x) & \text{for } j = 1, \cdots, n & (x<0) \\ \mathcal{T}^{+}_{\rm Dirac}(0)\Psi_{j+1}^{\rm ac}(x) & \text{for } j = 1, \cdots, n-1 & (x>0) \\ -\mathcal{T}^{+}_{\rm Dirac}(0)\Psi_1^{\rm ac}(x) & \text{for } j = n & (x>0) \end{cases} \tag{5.12}$$

and

$$\Psi_j^{\rm ac}(x)\mathcal{T}^{-}_{\rm Dirac}(0) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{T}^{-}_{\rm Dirac}(0)\Psi_j^{\rm ac}(x) & \text{for } j = 1, \cdots, n & (x>0) \\ \mathcal{T}^{-}_{\rm Dirac}(0)\Psi_{j+1}^{\rm ac}(x) & \text{for } j = 1, \cdots, n-1 & (x<0) \\ -\mathcal{T}^{-}_{\rm Dirac}(0)\Psi_1^{\rm ac}(x) & \text{for } j = n & (x<0)\,. \end{cases} \tag{5.13}$$

Then, we diagonalise the branch-point twist fields in the n-copy Dirac theory by performing a $SU(n)$ transformation of the basis (5.11) and the new basis after this transformation can be considered as n independent Dirac fermions. In this new basis, the branch-point twist fields can be written as a product of $U(1)$ twist fields acting on these independent Dirac fermions from different copies:

$$\mathcal{T}^{\eta}_{\rm Dirac} = \prod_k \sigma^{\eta}_{(k,\alpha_k)} \tag{5.14}$$

where $k = 1, \ldots, n$ represents the copy number and $\alpha_k = \frac{2k-n-1}{2n}$ is associated with the $U(1)$ element $e^{2\pi i\alpha_k}$, for $\alpha_k \in [-1, 1]$. It is worth mentioning that the relation (5.14) is only valid in the case of even n. For n odd, the dimension of the branch-point twist field constructed from this factorisation relation does not agree with (5.9) which is predicted by the conformal field theory. More details of the derivation of (5.14) can be found in Appendix B of [57]. On the other hand, from the point of view that the n-copy Dirac theory is a doubled n-copy Ising model, the branch-point twist field $\mathcal{T}^{\eta}_{\rm Dirac}$ has the relation

$$\mathcal{T}^{\eta}_{\rm Dirac} = \mathcal{T}^{\eta}_a \otimes \mathcal{T}^{\eta}_b \tag{5.15}$$

where $\mathcal{T}^{\eta}_a$ and $\mathcal{T}^{\eta}_b$ are the branch-point twist fields in the copies a and b of the n-copy Ising model, respectively.

5.3 Rényi entropy for integer n

According to the arguments in [57], the Rényi entropy for integer n in the Ising model can be written in terms of the mixed-state two-point correlation function of the branch-point twist fields (without loss of generality we consider only the branch-point twist fields with cuts going towards the right):

$$S_A^{(n)} = \frac{1}{1-n} \log \left[\varepsilon^{2d_n} \tilde{Z}_n \big\langle \mathcal{T}(x,0) \tilde{\mathcal{T}}(0,0) \big\rangle_{\rho_{\rm I}^{(n)}} \right] \tag{5.16}$$

where $\tilde{Z}_n$ is an n-dependent non-universal normalisation constant with $\tilde{Z}_1 = 1$, ε is a short-distance cutoff which is chosen in such a way that $d\tilde{Z}_n/dn = 1$, d_n is the scaling dimension (5.9), and $\rho_{\rm I}^{(n)}$ represents the density matrix of the n-copy Ising model.

Let us now evaluate the two-point function $\big\langle \mathcal{T}(x,0) \tilde{\mathcal{T}}(0,0) \big\rangle_{\rho_{\rm I}^{(n)}}$. We first define the density matrix $\rho_{\rm D}^{(n)}$ for the n-copy Dirac theory:

$$\rho_{\rm D}^{(n)} = \rho_{\rm D}^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \rho_{\rm D}^n \tag{5.17}$$

where $\rho_{\rm D}^i$ is the density matrix in the $i^{\rm th}$-copy of the model. Then, in light of (5.14), the two-point correlation function of branch-point twist fields $\mathcal{T}_{\rm Dirac}(x,0)$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\rm Dirac}(0,0)$ in the n-copy Dirac theory in mixed states can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \big\langle \mathcal{T}^+_{\rm Dirac}(x,0) \tilde{\mathcal{T}}^+_{\rm Dirac}(0,0) \big\rangle_{\rho_{\rm D}^{(n)}} \\
= \; & \frac{{\rm Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_{\rm D}^{(n)}} \left(\rho_{\rm D}^{(n)} \prod_{m=1}^n \sigma^+_{(m,\alpha_m)}(x,0) \prod_{p=1}^n \sigma^+_{(p,-\alpha_p)}(0,0) \right)}{{\rm Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_{\rm D}^{(n)}} \left(\rho_{\rm D}^{(n)} \right)} \\
= \; & \frac{{\rm Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_{\rm D}^1} \left(\rho_{\rm D}^1 \, \sigma^+_{(1,\alpha_1)}(x,0) \sigma^+_{(1,-\alpha_1)}(0,0) \right)}{{\rm Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_{\rm D}^1} \left(\rho_{\rm D}^1 \right)} \cdots \frac{{\rm Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_{\rm D}^n} \left(\rho_{\rm D}^n \, \sigma^+_{(n,\alpha_n)}(x,0) \sigma^+_{(n,-\alpha_n)}(0,0) \right)}{{\rm Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_{\rm D}^n} \left(\rho_{\rm D}^n \right)} \\
= \; & \big\langle \sigma^+_{(1,\alpha_1)}(x,0) \sigma^+_{(1,-\alpha_1)}(0,0) \big\rangle_{\rho_{\rm D}^1} \cdots \big\langle \sigma^+_{(n,\alpha_n)}(x,0) \sigma^+_{(n,-\alpha_n)}(0,0) \big\rangle_{\rho_{\rm D}^n}
\end{aligned} \tag{5.18}$$

where $\mathcal{H}_{\rm D}^{(n)}$ is the Hilbert space of the n-copy Dirac theory and $\mathcal{H}_{\rm D}^i$ is the Hilbert space of the $i^{\rm th}$-copy of it, and where in the first step we used relations (5.8) and (2.9). On the other hand, we can define the density matrix $\rho_{\rm D}^{(n)}$ in another way:

$$\rho_{\rm D}^{(n)} = \rho_{{\rm I}_a}^{(n)} \otimes \rho_{{\rm I}_b}^{(n)} \tag{5.19}$$

where $\rho_{{\rm I}_a}^{(n)}$ and $\rho_{{\rm I}_b}^{(n)}$ are the density matrices in the copies a and b of the n-copy Ising model,

respectively. Using the relation (5.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\langle \mathcal{T}^{+}_{\rm Dirac}(x,0)\tilde{\mathcal{T}}^{+}_{\rm Dirac}(0,0)\right\rangle_{\rho_{\rm D}^{(n)}}\\
=\;& \frac{{\rm Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_{\rm D}^{(n)}}\left(\rho_{\rm D}^{(n)}\mathcal{T}_a^{+}(x,0)\mathcal{T}_b^{+}(x,0)\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_a(0,0)\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_b(0,0)\right)}{{\rm Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_{\rm D}^{(n)}}\left(\rho_{\rm D}^{(n)}\right)}\\
=\;& \frac{{\rm Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_{{\rm I}_a}^{(n)}}\left(\rho_{{\rm I}_a}^{(n)}\,\mathcal{T}_a^{+}(x,0)\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_a(0,0)\right)}{{\rm Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_{{\rm I}_a}^{(n)}}\left(\rho_{{\rm I}_a}^{(n)}\right)}\frac{{\rm Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_{{\rm I}_b}^{(n)}}\left(\rho_{{\rm I}_b}^{(n)}\,\mathcal{T}_b^{+}(x,0)\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_b(0,0)\right)}{{\rm Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_{{\rm I}_b}^{(n)}}\left(\rho_{{\rm I}_b}^{(n)}\right)}\\
=\;& \left(\left\langle \mathcal{T}(x,0)\tilde{\mathcal{T}}(0,0)\right\rangle_{\rho_{\rm I}^{(n)}}\right)^2
\end{aligned}\tag{5.20}$$

where $\mathcal{H}_{{\rm I}_a}^{(n)}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{{\rm I}_b}^{(n)}$ are the Hilbert spaces of the copies a and b of the n-copy Ising model, respectively. By comparing (5.18) and (5.20), we see that the mixed-state two-point correlation function of the branch-point twist fields in the n-copy Ising model admits the representation of the form

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\langle \mathcal{T}(x,0)\tilde{\mathcal{T}}(0,0)\right\rangle_{\rho_{\rm I}^{(n)}}\\
=\;& \left(\left\langle \sigma^{+}_{(1,\alpha_1)}(x,0)\sigma^{+}_{(1,-\alpha_1)}(0,0)\right\rangle_{\rho_{\rm D}^{1}}\cdots\left\langle \sigma^{+}_{(n,\alpha_n)}(x,0)\sigma^{+}_{(n,-\alpha_n)}(0,0)\right\rangle_{\rho_{\rm D}^{n}}\right)^{1/2}
\end{aligned}\tag{5.21}$$

where the mixed-state two-point functions of $U(1)$ twist fields are known from (4.71).

Finally, substituting (5.21) into (5.16), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
S_n = \frac{1}{1-n}\times\\
\log\left[\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{6}(n-\frac{1}{n})}Z_n\left(\left\langle \sigma^{+}_{(1,\alpha_1)}(x,0)\sigma^{+}_{(1,-\alpha_1)}(0,0)\right\rangle_{\rho_{\rm D}^{1}}\cdots\left\langle \sigma^{+}_{(n,\alpha_n)}(x,0)\sigma^{+}_{(n,-\alpha_n)}(0,0)\right\rangle_{\rho_{\rm D}^{n}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right].
\end{aligned}\tag{5.22}$$

From (5.22), we can obtain the Rényi entropy for integer n and its asymptotic behavior by substituting (4.71) and using (4.73), respectively.

6 Conclusion

Generalising the program used in [12, 15, 43], we set up the Liouville space and defined the mixed-state form factor in the Dirac theory. Using the Riemann-Hilbert problem technique and low-temperature expansions, we evaluated finite-temperature form factors of $U(1)$ twist fields. Based on the structure of thermal form factors, we proposed an exact representation for form factors of $U(1)$ twist fields in general diagonal mixed states. The validity of these conjectured form factors has been confirmed by the fact that they coincide with the solution of a system of non-linear differential functional equations for mixed-state form factors of $U(1)$ twist fields. Using the Liouville-space form factor expansion, we derived two-point correlation functions

of $U(1)$ twist fields in general diagonal mixed states. Our Liouville-space method avoids re-summations of disconnected terms, which are required in the explicit calculation of the traces defining thermal averages in integrable QFT in order to cancel out divergent contributions from the partition function [20, 21, 22, 23, 24]. These re-summations have been automatically performed in our defined mixed-state form factors and resulting form factor expansions. We deduce, from the result for the mixed-state two-point function of the $U(1)$ twist fields, the Rényi entropy for integer n in the Ising model.

In spite of the progress we have made, some open problems remain on the technical level. We have derived a recursion relation for the normalization of mixed-state form factors of $U(1)$ twist fields, but this relation hardly contribute to the evaluation of the normalization due to its $W_\nu(\theta)$-independence. So more relations involving $W_\nu(\theta)$ functions are required. It seems that the method of deducing our non-linear functional differential equations can be exploited for determining the normalization. In the present manuscript, we have not discussed applications of our method to other mixed states such as quantum quenches and non-equilibrium steady state, which in some cases will require more general $W_\nu(\theta)$ functions, including with regions of negativity and with discontinuities. With successes both in the Ising model and Dirac model, the Liouville-space method definitely deserves further investigation in more integrable models. In particular, it would be interesting to generalize our method to interacting integrable models. This is more complicated due to the fact that Wick's theorem, on which our evaluation techniques are based, is not applicable to interacting theories. Nevertheless, we can still explore functional derivatives with respect to the density matrix eigenvalues. Instead of closed equations, we would expect an infinite set of equations related to form factors with more and more particles, which might lead to solutions or efficient expansion series.

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank Benjamin Doyon for his continuous support, insightful discussions, and helpful comments on the manuscript.

A Proof of relation (4.50)

Let us consider the normal-ordered operators

$$\mathcal{O} = D^\dagger_{\nu_1}(\theta_1)\cdots D^\dagger_{\nu_k}(\theta_k) D_{\nu_{k+1}}(\theta_{k+1})\cdots D_{\nu_n}(\theta_n) := \prod_i D^{\epsilon_i}_{\nu_i}(\theta_i) \tag{A.1}$$

for fixed n and k, with all nonegative integers $n \geq k \geq 0$. Using the definition of Liouville left-action of mode operators $[D^\epsilon_\nu(\theta)]^\ell$ (3.8) and of normal-ordering $\,\circ\!\cdot\!\circ$, we have

$$\,\circ\!\mathcal{O}^\ell\!\circ\,|\mathrm{vac}\rangle^\rho = \prod_i \frac{\mathbf{Z}^\dagger_{\nu_i,\epsilon_i}(\theta_i)}{Q^\rho_{\nu_i,-\epsilon_i}(\theta_i)}|\mathrm{vac}\rangle^\rho\,. \tag{A.2}$$

By the direct calculation, we find

$$\mathbf{U}\mathbf{Z}^{\dagger}_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta)\mathbf{U}^{-1} = \mathbf{Z}^{\dagger}_{\nu,\epsilon}(\theta) + \epsilon\frac{Q^{\rho}_{\nu,-\epsilon}(\theta)}{Q^{\rho}_{\nu,+}(\theta)}\mathbf{Z}_{\nu,-\epsilon}(\theta). \tag{A.3}$$

Then, on the right-hand side of (4.50), using (A.2) and (A.3) , we have

$$\mathbf{U}\,{}^{\circ}_{\circ}\mathcal{O}^{\ell}\,{}^{\circ}_{\circ}|\mathrm{vac}\rangle^{\rho} = \prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(\frac{\mathbf{Z}^{\dagger}_{\nu_i,+}(\theta_i)}{Q^{\rho}_{\nu_i,-}(\theta_i)} + \frac{\mathbf{Z}_{\nu_i,-}(\theta_i)}{Q^{\rho}_{\nu_i,+}(\theta_i)}\right)\prod_{i=k+1}^{n}\left(\frac{\mathbf{Z}^{\dagger}_{\nu_i,-}(\theta_i)}{Q^{\rho}_{\nu_i,+}(\theta_i)} - \frac{\mathbf{Z}_{\nu_i,+}(\theta_i)}{Q^{\rho}_{\nu_i,+}(\theta_i)}\right)|\mathrm{vac}\rangle^{\rho}$$

and on the left-hand side, using (3.8), we have

$$\mathcal{O}^{\ell}|\mathrm{vac}\rangle^{\rho} = \prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(\frac{\mathbf{Z}^{\dagger}_{\nu_i,+}(\theta_i)}{Q^{\rho}_{\nu_i,-}(\theta_i)} + \frac{\mathbf{Z}_{\nu_i,-}(\theta_i)}{Q^{\rho}_{\nu_i,+}(\theta_i)}\right)\prod_{i=k+1}^{n}\left(\frac{\mathbf{Z}^{\dagger}_{\nu_i,-}(\theta_i)}{Q^{\rho}_{\nu_i,+}(\theta_i)} + \frac{\mathbf{Z}_{\nu_i,+}(\theta_i)}{Q^{\rho}_{\nu_i,-}(\theta_i)}\right)|\mathrm{vac}\rangle^{\rho}\,.$$

These are equal, considering the fact that $\{\mathbf{Z}^{\dagger}_{\nu,-}(\theta), \mathbf{Z}_{\nu',+}(\theta)\} = 0$ and that $\mathbf{Z}_{\nu,+}(\theta)|\mathrm{vac}\rangle^{\rho} = 0$.

B Recursion relation for the normalization of mixed-state form factors of $U(1)$ twist fields

In analogy to the calculation of c_α in [41], a same recursion relation for the normalization $\langle\sigma^{\eta}_{\alpha}\rangle_{\rho}$ can be obtained by considering mixed-state one-particle form factors of the fermionic primary twist fields. By similar arguments to those leading to mixed-state form factors of twist fields $\sigma^{\eta}_{\alpha\pm1,\alpha}$, we can also deduce mixed-state form factors of $\sigma^{\eta}_{\alpha,\alpha\pm1}$. For instance, we have

$${}^{\rho}\langle\mathrm{vac}|\sigma^{\eta}_{\alpha-1,\alpha}(0)|\theta\rangle^{\rho}_{+,+} = i\frac{e^{-i\pi\alpha/2}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}e^{2\pi i\alpha\delta_{\eta,-}}m^{-\alpha+1/2}e^{(\alpha-1/2)\theta}\,h^{\eta}_{+,+}(\theta)\langle\sigma^{\eta}_{\alpha}\rangle_{\rho}\,. \tag{B.1}$$

After a shift $\alpha \mapsto \alpha+1$, we arrive at

$${}^{\rho}\langle\mathrm{vac}|\sigma^{\eta}_{\alpha,\alpha+1}(0)|\theta\rangle^{\rho}_{+,+} = -\frac{e^{-i\pi\alpha/2}}{\Gamma(-\alpha)}e^{2\pi i\alpha\delta_{\eta,-}}m^{-\alpha-1/2}e^{(\alpha+1/2)\theta}\,h^{\eta}_{+,+}(\theta)\langle\sigma^{\eta}_{\alpha+1}\rangle_{\rho}\,. \tag{B.2}$$

Notice that the leg factor $h^{\eta}_{+,+}(\theta)$ is invariant under the shift $\alpha \mapsto \alpha+1$. Then, comparison with the mixed-state one-particle form factor of $\sigma^{\eta}_{\alpha,\alpha+1}$ given by (4.26)

$${}^{\rho}\langle\mathrm{vac}|\sigma^{\eta}_{\alpha,\alpha+1}(0)|\theta\rangle^{\rho}_{+,+} = -\frac{e^{-i\pi\alpha/2}}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)}e^{2\pi i\alpha\delta_{\eta,-}}m^{\alpha+1/2}e^{(\alpha+1/2)\theta}\,h^{\eta}_{+,+}(\theta)\langle\sigma^{\eta}_{\alpha}\rangle_{\rho}$$

leads to the recursion relation

$$\frac{\langle\sigma^{\eta}_{\alpha+1}\rangle_{\rho}}{\langle\sigma^{\eta}_{\alpha}\rangle_{\rho}} = \frac{\Gamma(-\alpha)}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)}m^{2\alpha+1}.$$

References

[1] Karowski M and Weisz P, *Exact form-factors in (1+1)-dimensional field theoretic models with soliton behaviour*, 1978 *Nucl. Phys.* B **139 455**

[2] Zamolodchikov A B and Zamolodchikov Al B , *Factorized S-matrices in two dimensions as the exact solutions of certain relativistic quantum field theory models*, 1979 *Ann. Phys.* **120 253**

[3] Smirnov F, 1992 *Form Factors in Completely Integrable Models of Quantum Field Theory (Advanced Series in Mathematical Physics)* **14** (Singapore: World Scientific)

[4] Delfino G, *Integrable field theory and critical phenomena: the Ising model in a magnetic field*, 2004 *J. Phys.* A **37 R45** (arXiv:hep-th/0312119v1)

[5] Mussardo G, 2010 *Statistical Field Theory: An Introduction to Exactly Solved Models in Statistical Physics* (New York: Oxford University Press)

[6] Zamolodchikov Al B, *Two-point correlation function in scaling Lee-Yang model*, 1991 *Nucl. Phys.* B **348 619**

[7] Yurov V P and Zamolodchikov Al B, *Correlation functions of integrable 2D models of relativistic field theory: Ising model*, 1991 *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **6 3419**

[8] Matsubara T, *A new approach to quantum-statistical mechanics*, 1955 *Progr. Theor. Phys.* **14 351**

[9] Essler F H L and Konik R M, *Applications of massive integrable quantum field theories to problems in condensed matter physics*, 2004 *From Fields to Strings: Circumnavigating Theoretical Physics, Ian Kogan Memorial Collection 1* ed M Shifman, A Vainshtein and J Wheater (Singapore: World Scientific) p 684

[10] Leclair A, Lesage F, Sachdev S and Saleur H, *Finite temperature correlations in the one-dimensional quantum Ising model*, 1996 *Nucl.Phys.* B **482 579** (arXiv:cond-mat/9606104v2)

[11] Fonseca P and Zamolodchikov A B, *Ising field theory in a magnetic field: analytic properties of the free energy*, 2003 *J. Stat. Phys.* **110 527** (arXiv:hep-th/0112167)

[12] Doyon B, *Finite-temperature form factors in the free Majorana theory,* 2005 *J. Stat. Mech* **P11006** (hep-th/0506105)

[13] Leclair A and Mussardo G, *Finite temperature correlation functions in integrable QFT*, 1999 *Nucl. Phys.* B **552 624** (arXiv:hep-th/9902075v1)

[14] Reyes S A and Tsvelik A M, *Finite-temperature correlation function for the one-dimensional quantum Ising model: the virial expansion,* 2006 *Phys. Rev.* B **73 220405(R)** (arXiv:cond-mat/0605040v1)

[15] Doyon B, *Finite-temperature form factors: a review*, 2007 *SIGMA* **3 011** (hep-th/0611066) *Proceedings of the O'Raifeartaigh Symposium on Non-Perturbative and Symmetry Methods in Field Theory* (Budapest, Jun. 2006).

[16] Fonseca P and Zamolodchikov A B, *Ward identities and integrable differential equations in the Ising field theory* (hep-th/0309228)

[17] Doyon B and Gamsa A, *Integral equations and long-time asymptotics for finite-temperature Ising chain correlation functions*, 2008 *J. Stat. Mech.* **P03012** (arXiv:0711.4619v1)

[18] Sachdev S and Young A P, *Low temperature relaxational dynamics of the Ising chain in a transverse field Subir Sachdev,* 1997 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **78 2220** (arXiv:cond-mat/9609185v2)

[19] Pozsgay B and Takács G, *Form factors in finite volume I: form factor bootstrap and truncated conformal space*, 2008 *Nucl. Phys.* B **788 167** (arXiv:0706.1445v2)

[20] Pozsgay B and Takács G, *Form factors in finite volume II: disconnected terms and finite temperature correlators,* 2008 *Nucl. Phys.* B **788 209** (arXiv:0706.3605v1)

[21] Essler F H L and Konik R M, *Finite-temperature lineshapes in gapped quantum spin chains*, 2008 *Phys. Rev.* B **78 100403** (arXiv:0711.2524v2)

[22] Essler F H L and Konik R M, *Finite-temperature dynamical correlations in massive integrable quantum field theories*, 2009 *J. Stat. Mech.* **P09018** (arXiv:0907.0779v2)

[23] Pozsgay B and Takács G, *Form factor expansion for thermal correlators*, 2010 *J. Stat. Mech.* **P11012** (arXiv:1008.3810v3)

[24] Szécsényi I M and Takács G, *Spectral expansion for finite temperature two-point functions and clustering* (arXiv:1210.0331v1)

[25] Rigol M, Dunjko V, Yurovsky V and Olshanii M, *Relaxation in a completely integrable many-body quantum system: an ab initio study of the dynamics of the highly excited states of lattice hard-core bosons,* 2007 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98 50405** (arXiv:cond-mat/0604476v2)

[26] Rigol M, Dunjko V, and Olshanii M, *Thermalization and its mechanism for generic isolated quantum systems*, 2008 *Nature* **452 854** (arXiv:0708.1324v2)

[27] Polkovnikov A, Sengupta K, Silva A and Vengalattore M, *Nonequilibrium dynamics of closed interacting quantum systems*, 2001 *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **83 863** (arXiv:1007.5331v2 [cond-mat.stat-mech])

[28] Calabrese P, Essler F H L and Fagotti M, *Quantum Quench in the Transverse Field Ising Chain,* 2011 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106 227203** (arXiv:1104.0154v1)

[29] Calabrese P, Essler F H L and Fagotti M, *Quantum Quench in the Transverse Field Ising chain I: time evolution of order parameter correlators,* 2012 *J. Stat. Mech.* **P07016** (arXiv:1204.3911v3)

[30] Aschbacher W H and Pillet C-A, *Non-equilibrium steady states of the XY Chain*, 2003 *J. Stat. Phys.* **112 1153**

[31] Bernard D and Doyon B, *Energy flow in non-equilibrium conformal field theory,* 2012 *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **45 362001** (arXiv:1202.0239)

[32] Bernard D and Doyon B, *Non-equilibrium steady states in conformal field theory,* 2015 *Ann. Henri Poincaré* **16 113** (arXiv:1302.3125)

[33] Doyon B, Nonequilibrium density matrix for thermal transport in quantum field theory, Les Houches lectures (arXiv:1212.1077v1)

[34] Luca A De, Viti J, Bernard D and Doyon B, *Non-equilibrium thermal transport in the quantum Ising chain,* 2013 *Phys. Rev.* B **88 134301** (arXiv:1305.4984)

[35] Schroer B and Truong T T, *The order/disorder quantum field operators associated with the two-dimensional Ising model in the continuum limit*, 1978 *Nucl. Phys.* B **144 80**

[36] Efthimiou C and LeClair A, *Particle-field duality and form-factors from vertex operators*, 1995 *Commun. Math. Phys.* **171 531** (arXiv:hep-th/9312121v1)

[37] Karowski M and Weisz P, *Exact Form-Factors In (1+1)-dimensional field theoretic models with soliton behavior,* 1978 *Nucl. Phys.* B **139 455**

[38] Marino E C, Schroer B and Swieca J A, *Euclidean functional integral approach for disorder variables and kinks*, 1982 *Nucl. Phys.* B **200 473**

[39] Bernard D and LeClair A, *Differential equations for sine-Gordon correlation functions at the free fermion point*, 1994 *Nucl. Phys.* B **426 534**; 1997 Erratum: *ibid.* B **498 619** (arXiv:hep-th/9402144v2)

[40] Sato M, Miwa T and Jimbo M, *Holonomic quantum fields. 4*, 1979 *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. Kyoto.* **15 871**

[41] Doyon B and Silk J, *Correlation functions of twist fields from Ward identities in the massive Dirac theory,* 2011 *J. Phys.* A **44 295402** (arXiv:1103.2328v1)

[42] Silk J, *More General Correlation Functions of Twist Fields From Ward Identities in the Massive Dirac Theory*, 2012 *J. Phys.* A **45 195401** (arXiv:1112.3537v2)

[43] Chen Y and Doyon B, *Form factors in equilibrium and non-equilibrium mixed states of the Ising model*, 2014 *J. Stat. Mech.* **P09021** (arXiv:1305.0518v2)

[44] Gelfand I M and Naimark M A, *On the imbedding of normed rings into the ring of operators on a Hilbert space*, 1943 *Math. Sbornik.* **12 197**

[45] Segal I E, *Irreducible representations of operator algebras*, 1947 *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.* **53 73**

[46] Arveson W, 1981 *An Invitation to C*-Algebra* (New York: Springer-Verlag)

[47] Lukyanov S and Zamolodchikov A, *Exact expectation values of local fields in quantum sine-Gordon model*, 1996 *Nucl. Phys.* B **493 571** (arXiv:hep-th/9611238v1)

[48] Doyon B, *Two-point functions of scaling fields in the Dirac theory on the Poincare disk*, 2003 *Nucl. Phys.* B **675 607** (arXiv:hep-th/0304190v2)

[49] Itzykson C, Drouffe J M, 1989 *Statistical field theory* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)

[50] Lieb E, Schultz T and Mattis D, *Two soluble models of an antiferromagnetic chain*, 1961 *Ann. Physics.* **16 407**

[51] Katsura S, *Statistical Mechanics of the Anisotropic Linear Heisenberg Model*, 1962 *Phys. Rev.* **127 1508**

[52] Jordan P and Wigner W, *Über das Paulische Äquivalenzverbot*, 1928 *Z. Phys.* **47 631**

[53] Bennett C H, Bernstein H J, Popescu S, and Schumacher B, *Concentrating Partial Entanglement by Local Operations*, 1996 *Phys. Rev.* A **53 2046** (arXiv:quant-ph/9511030v1)

[54] Holzhey C, Larsen F, and Wilczek F, *Geometric and Renormalized Entropy in Conformal Field Theory,* 1994 *Nucl. Phys.* B **424 443** (arXiv:hep-th/9403108v1)

[55] Calabrese P and Cardy J L, *Entanglement entropy and quantum field theory*, 2004 *J. Stat. Mech.* **P06002** (arXiv:hep-th/0405152v3)

[56] Knizhnik V G, *Analytic fields on Riemann surfaces. II*, 1987 *Commun. Math. Phys.* **112 567**

[57] Cardy J L, Castro-Alvaredo O A and Doyon B, *Form factors of branch-point twist fields in quantum integrable models and entanglement entropy*, 2008 *J. Stat. Phys.* **130 129** (arXiv:0706.3384v2)